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ABSTRACT: Nucleation-and-growth processes are used extensively in the synthesis of spherical colloids, and more recently regiospecific nucleation-and-growth processes have been exploited to prepare more complex colloids such as patchy particles. We demonstrate that surface geometry alone can be made to play the dominant role in determining the final particle geometry in such syntheses, meaning that intricate chemical surface patternings are not required. We present a synthesis method for “lollipop”-shaped colloidal heterodimers (patchy particles), combining a recently published nucleation-and-growth technique with our recent findings that particle geometry influences the locus of droplet adsorption onto anisotropic template particles. Specifically, 3-methacryloxypropyl trimethoxysilane (MPTMS) is nucleated and grown onto bullet-shaped and nail-shaped colloids. The shape of the template particle can be chosen such that the MPTMS adsorbs regiospecifically onto the flat ends. In particular, we find that particles with a wider base increase the range of droplet volumes for which the minimum in the free energy of adsorption is located at the flat end of the particle compared with bullet-shaped particles of the same aspect ratio. We put forward an extensive analysis of the synthesis mechanism and experimentally determine the physical properties of the heterodimers, supported by theoretical simulations. Here we numerically optimize, for the first time, the shape of finite-sized droplets as a function of their position on the rod-like silica particle surface. We expect that our findings will give an impulse to complex particle creation by regiospecific nucleation and growth.

INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, complex particles have attracted a tremendous rise in interest as a result of newly invented fabrication methods to prepare such complex particles in an efficient manner, accompanied by new fundamental and industrial applications for such systems. A recent development in the creation of complex colloids is nucleation and growth onto preformed template particles, a process in which the contact angle determines the final particle shape. In refs 3–7, the silane coupling agent 3-methacryloxypropyl-trimethoxysilane (MPTMS) was nucleated and grown onto template particles of various shapes such as polystyrene (PS) spheres and ellipsoids; hematite cubes, disks and peanut-shaped colloids; and octadecyltrimethoxysilane (OTMS)-grafted silica spheres and clusters, in this manner yielding asymmetric PS/MPTMS, hematite/MPTMS, and silica/MPTMS hybrid particles. (In this paper we indicate with an asterisk (*) behind the name of the silane coupling agent used that we are referring to the hydrolyzed and slightly condensed phase of such coupling agents. Often such hydrolyzed and slightly condensed coupling agents like MPTMS* are not soluble in pure water and separate out as liquid droplets.) In an exciting recent development, Youssef et al. even employed a light- and pH-triggered dewetting of the MPTMS* to prepare shape-shifting colloids. These advancements built on previous work by Sacanna, Kegel, and Philipse, where it was demonstrated that magnetite particles (or silica particles) and MPTMS* droplets form thermodynamically stable Pickering emulsions. The heterodimer formation in refs 3–7 takes these Pickering emulsions to the level of a single particle per emulsion droplet (or multiple droplets per particle). Of course, complete wetting leads to a core–shell morphology and may also be desirable in certain cases.

A next step in these nucleation-and-growth techniques is to be able to control the location of attachment of the reactant droplet onto the particle, that is, to achieve regiospecific adsorption. An obvious way to achieve this is by first creating a regiospecific surface functionalization on the template...
For example, several researchers have exploited the fact that cetyl trimammmonium bromide (CTAB) binds stronger and therefore with higher density to the [100] facet (longitudinal side) of gold nanorods, allowing regiospecific functionalization of the rounded ends of the nanorods. For example, regulation of the growth temperature, by manipulating the reagent addition time, or by exploiting a gradient in etching rate. In particular, Datkos and Sharma showed that changing the temperature of the reaction mixture during particle growth changes the growth speed and thus the particle diameter. We demonstrate that nail-shaped template particles sustain lollipop-shaped heterodimer formation at much larger aspect ratios than do bullet-shaped template particles. By changing the template geometry we thus reach unprecedented control over this chemical system.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Chemicals.** The following chemicals were used. Silane coupling agents: (3-methacryloxypropyl)trimethoxysilane (MPTMS, ≥ 98%, Sigma-Aldrich), octadecyltrimethoxysilane (OTMS, 90%, technical grade, Aldrich), and (3-aminopropyl)-triethoxysilane (APTES, ≥ 98%, Sigma-Aldrich). Surfactants: Pluronic F108 block copolymer surfactant (average mol. wt.: 14 600 g/mol, BASF), Igepal CO-520 (average mol. wt.: 441 g/mol, Aldrich), and Triton X-100 (laboratory grade, Sigma-Aldrich). Water was deionized with a Millipore Direct-Q UV3 reverse osmosis filter apparatus (Millipore Corporation) and had a resistivity of at least 18.2 MΩ cm. Ethanol (absolute) was obtained from Merck and 1-pentanol (ReagentPlus, ≥99%) from Sigma-Aldrich. The catalysts aqueous ammonia (25.6 wt %), n-butylamine (99.5 wt %), and sodium citrate dihydrate (p.a. ≥ 99.0%, anhydrous) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. 2,2′-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) initiator from Janssen Chimica was recrystallized from ethanol. RAS (rhodamine isothiocyanate-aminostyrene) dye conjugate was prepared as described in ref 36 and dissolved in acetone (99%, Merck) to a final concentration of ~0.2 mg/mL. Fluoresceine isothiocyanate (FITC, ≥90 wt % (HPLC)) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, as was polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, K-30, 40 000 g/mol). All chemicals were used as received.

**Synthesis of Asymmetric (“Lollipop”) Silica/MPTMS**

**Heterodimers.** Nail-shaped particles were prepared using regulation of the growth temperature, as recently published in ref 32, based on the original work of Kuijk et al. Specifically, 1 g of PVP (40 000 g/mol) was dissolved in 10 mL of pentanol by sonication in a 20 mL glass vial (Wheaton). To this solution, 1 mL of ethanol, 0.28 mL of water and 67 μL of sodium citrate solution (0.18 M in water) were added. After shaking the vial by hand, 0.225 mL of ammonia was added and the vial was shaken again. Finally, 100 μL of TES was added and the vial was shaken once more. The reaction mixture was immediately placed in an oven thermostated at 50 °C. Performing the reaction at elevated temperature reduces the rod diameter, as described in ref 32. After 2 h in the oven, the bottle was placed in a fridge at 4 °C to grow the nails’ “head”. After 6–18 h, ethanol was added to quench the reaction, and the nail-shaped particles were collected by centrifugation and redispersion in ethanol. This synthesis method was also successfully repeated on a larger scale (150 mL of PVP/pentanol mixture, in a 250 mL round-bottomed flask).

The nail-shaped particles were optionally labeled with FITC dye and then grafted with OTMS before the MPTMS*
For dye-labeling, a mixture of 4 mg FITC, 4 μL of APTES, and 250 μL of ethanol was allowed to form APTES-dye conjugates for 2 h under stirring. To 8.5 mg of dried nail-shaped particles we added 1 mL of ethanol, 10 μL of ammonia, and 40 μL of dye solution. After 2 h, the dried particles were centrifuged and washed two times with water. For the OTMS-grafting step, the nail-shaped particles were dried once more, and a mixture of 1 mL of toluene, 0.1 mL of butylamine, and 0.1 mL of OTMS was added. After 2 h of sonication and overnight reaction, the dye-labeled and OTMS-grafted nail-shaped particles were washed twice with ethanol.

For the MPTMS* nucleation and growth step, nail-shaped particles (~8.5 mg) were dried and redispersed in a mixture of 890 μL of water and 355 μL of F108 in water (0.35 wt %). To this dispersion, 1.25 μL of ammonia and 18 μL of MPTMS were added. The dispersion was stirred for 30 min, during which an MPTMS* protrusion nucleated and grew onto the nail-shaped particles. The final MPTMS*-heterodimer particles were collected by centrifuging twice with water and then stored in ethanol.

To fluorescently label the MPTMS* droplets, after the MPTMS* had reacted for 1 h under magnetic stirring, 20 μL of the solution of RAS in acetonitrile was added to the reaction mixture and the mixture was stirred for an extra 10 min. The RAS in acetonitrile is taken up by the MPTMS* droplets, making the MPTMS* droplets suitable for confocal microscopy studies.

Asymmetric heterodimer particles were also prepared from rod-like particles with a flat end (bullet-shaped particles). To this end, the exact same synthesis route as above was performed on bullet-shaped particles synthesized, as described in ref 28.

The MPTMS* droplet can also be cross-linked through its organic functional groups by a free radical polymerization reaction. Typically, 2.8 mg OTMS-grafted nail-shaped particles were dispersed in 0.88 mL of deionized water and 0.34 mL of Pluronic F108 solution (0.35 wt %) by sonicating for 2 h. Then, 1 μL of ammonia (26.6 wt %) and 18.75 μL of OTMS were added. After 2 h of reaction, the dye-labeled and OTMS-grafted nail-shaped particles were washed twice with water and then stored in ethanol.

Transmission Electron Microscopy. Samples were prepared by drying a droplet of heterodimer dispersion on Formvar- and carbon-coated transmission electron microscopy (TEM) grids (copper, 200 mesh, Electron Microscopy Sciences); for preparation, see ref 37. Electron microscopy images were recorded on a FEI Tecnai T12 microscope at 120 kV acceleration voltage. HAADF-STEM imaging was performed on a Tecnai 20 FEG (FEI company) at 200 kV.

Confocal Microscopy. Confocal images were recorded on a Leica SP8 confocal microscope. The microscope was equipped with a Leica HCX Plan Apo STED Orange 100X oil immersion lens (NA = 1.4), which is a lens optimized for use in stimulated emission depletion (STED), mode although STED was not required. Excitation wavelengths of 495 and 543 nm were selected from the spectrum of a white light laser by means of an acousto-optical beam splitter (AOBS). Two detectors recorded the fluorescent signals in different wavelength ranges: a photomultiplier tube (PMT) in the range 510–540 nm for the FITC-dyed particle cores and a "HyD" detector (from Leica Microsystems) in the range 565–700 nm for the RAS-dyed MPTMS* protrusion. The latter detector is a combination of a PMT and a system similar to an avalanche photodiode, which makes the HyD a more sensitive detector with a low dark noise and a large dynamic range. The two channels were displayed in different 8-bit color look-up tables: green (FITC-labeled core) and red (RAS-dyed protrusion).

Numerical Calculations. A numerical method was used to calculate the equilibrium shape and position of droplets attached to colloidal particles. The fluid–fluid interface between the droplet and the external fluid (i.e., the fluid surrounding both particle and droplet) is treated as a 2D curved surface with no thickness and is represented by a grid of points (an illustrative sketch of the grid used is shown in Figure S1). Given as input parameters the particle shape, the droplet volume, and Young’s contact angle, the position of the fluid–fluid interface grid points that minimizes the free energy of the system is the equilibrium shape of the fluid–fluid interface, that is, the solution of the Young–Laplace Equation with Young’s Law as boundary condition. To compute the minimum-energy position of the fluid–fluid interface grid points, we use a simulated annealing algorithm, that is, a Monte Carlo method. A detailed description of the algorithm’s numerical implementation for 2D systems can be found in ref 30 and for 3D systems in ref 38. This numerical method, applied here to study droplets attached to nontrivially shaped colloidal particles, is very well suited as well to study droplets wetting heterogeneous substrates and colloidal particles adsorbed at fluid–fluid interfaces.

The droplet attachment position on the colloidal particle is chosen as an input parameter, and then the droplet equilibrium shape is computed by constraining the droplet to remain around this initial position (to impose this constraint, the vertical axis of the fluid–fluid interface grid is kept fixed in the Monte Carlo simulation; see Figure S1). For a given droplet volume, we repeat this calculation sampling different initial positions of the droplet on the particle, identifying, finally, the droplet position corresponding to the droplet equilibrium shape with minimum free energy. The free energy $F$ of the particle-droplet-external fluid system is

$$F = γS + γ_1W_1 + γ_2W_2 - V ΔP$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $γ_1$, $γ_2$, and $γ_3$ are the droplet-external fluid, particle-external fluid, and particle-droplet surface tensions, respectively; $S$, $W_1$, and $W_2$ are the surface areas of, respectively, the droplet-external fluid, particle-external fluid, and particle-droplet interface; $V$ is the droplet volume; and $ΔP$ is the difference between the bulk pressure of the droplet and the bulk pressure of the external fluid. Note that we are neglecting gravity effects, as they are not relevant in the experimental systems of interest. Using $Σ ≡ W_1 + W$ to indicate the total particle surface area, we rewrite eq 1 as

$$F = γ(S - W \cos θ) - V ΔP + Σγ_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where we introduced $\cos θ = (γ_1 - γ_2)/γ$ using Young’s Law, with $θ$ Young’s contact angle taken inside the droplet. The term $Σγ_1$ is a constant and therefore it can be ignored. The term $VΔP$ is also not relevant in our analysis because we will compare the energies of droplets with the same $V$ and $ΔP$, attached at different positions on the particle surface. So we write the free energy as $E ≡ F - Σγ_1 + V ΔP$, that is, $F$ (eq 1) shifted by a constant, obtaining

$$E = γ(S - W \cos θ)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
To sum up our numerical method, we compute, by minimizing $E$ (eq 3) with Monte Carlo simulations, the equilibrium shape of a droplet attached at a colloidal particle of a certain shape, given as input parameters the droplet volume $V$, the droplet initial position on the particle surface, and Young’s contact angle $\theta$. During the simulation, the droplet is numerically constrained to remain around its initial position (so we find the minimum energy shape that the droplet has in the given position at the particle surface). By sampling different initial positions of the droplet on the particle surface, we find, at fixed $\theta$ and $V$, the position on the particle surface where the droplet equilibrium shape has minimum $E$, which is the equilibrium configuration of the particle-droplet system.

The shapes of the colloidal particle that we considered in our calculations are a nail of aspect ratio $m = 5.8$, a bullet of aspect ratio $m = 6.0$, and a bullet of aspect ratio $m = 2.1$ (see Figure 3). The aspect ratio $m$ of each particle shape is defined as the ratio of the end-to-end distance in the long direction of the particle with the diameter of the particle circular section taken at the center. The exact definition of these particle shapes is reported in the Supporting Information. For numerical convenience, in our model the nail head does not contain edges as sharp as in the TEM images. This negligibly affects the results, as, we will show, it is the diameter of the circular flat base of the particle that plays a major role in the physics of the system. In our calculations, we compute the equilibrium shape of the droplet attached at the flat end and attached at the long side of these particle shapes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Particle Synthesis and Characterization. In a recent publication, Wang and coworkers showed that hydrophobized (spherical) silica particles can serve as a template for the nucleation and growth of a silane coupling agent (SCA), MPTMS*. An OTMS-grafting on the silica in combination with a surfactant (Pluronic F108) is used to create a suitable contact angle, such that the MPTMS* does not fully envelope the silica colloid but instead adsorbs partially onto the template particle shapes. The slight etching of the nail-shaped particles visible in panel b is a result of the presence of ammonia in the nucleation and growth and cross-linking reaction. The composite particles for which the locus of condensation was on the long side for virtually all template particles (Figure S4). That is, the locus of adsorption is not only dependent on contact angle but also on the particle aspect ratio, in line with previous observations.

To investigate the influence of small changes in the template particle geometry on the heterodimer formation, we produced nail-shaped particles by the temperature-regulated growth technique of Datskos and Sharma. These nail-shaped templates have a slightly broader “nail head” at the flat end. Nail-shaped templates of aspect ratio $m = 3.2$ successfully yielded asymmetric dumbbell particles upon nucleation, growth, and cross-linking of MPTMS* (Figure 1b). In fact, the synthesis mechanism was successful for nail-shaped templates of aspect ratio up to $m = 5.8$ (as in Figure 1c and Figure 2), contrary to the case of bullet-shaped templates of $m = 6.2$. Longer nail-shaped silica particles with $m = 8.6$ did fail to deliver lollipop-shaped particles (Figure S5). These results, as summarized in Table1, indicate that the presence of a “nail head” increases the range of aspect ratios for which locus of...
The presented asymmetric heterodimers are suitable for fluorescence microscopy. Figure 2a–c displays confocal micrographs of particles prepared by MPTMS* nucleation and growth onto dyed and OTMS-grafted nail-shaped particles. The MPTMS* lobes were fluorescently labeled by infiltration of another dye derivative. In the FITC dye channel (Figure 2a), the locations of the nail heads are visible, and comparison with the composite image (Figure 2c) reconfirms that the MPTMS* nucleated onto the nail heads. Occasionally a small extra MPTMS* droplet nucleated onto the edge of the rod (Figure S7) when the position at the flat end was already occupied by another droplet. The preferred adsorption at the flat ends is also apparent from the occasional droplets with multiple rods attached (Figure 2d–f), although it is not immediately clear whether several heterodimers have fused together by their MPTMS* droplets during nucleation and growth or whether nail-shaped particles attached to an existing heterodimer. In either case, it again appears energetically favorable for the nail-shaped particles to stay attached to the droplet end-on rather than edge-on.

Two causes for the end-on attachment of the MPTMS* onto bullet-shaped and nail-shaped particles can be conjectured: the geometry of the templates (influencing the morphology of an attached droplet) or a difference in surface tension of the material on the long side and on the flat end of the template particles. A compositional difference in surface tension cannot explain the observation that nail-shaped particles, and bullet-shaped particles of similar aspect ratio do not yield heterodimers of equal shape. Theoretical calculations (vide infra) were performed to further investigate our hypothesis that nucleation and growth at the flat end is dominated by particle geometry.

**Theoretical Calculations on the Formation Mechanism.** The morphologies of a particle-droplet system were predicted theoretically by calculating the minimum free energy configuration, with the numerical method described in the Numerical Calculations section. The full results for the difference in the (free) energy $E$ (eq 3) for the droplet equilibrium shape at different positions on the colloidal particle surface, as obtained by our numerical calculations, are reported Figures S10–S12, in units of $\Sigma \gamma$ (where $\Sigma$ is the colloidal particle surface area and $\gamma$ is the fluid–fluid surface tension between the droplet and the external fluid). An estimate of $\Sigma \gamma$ in units of $k_B T$ is provided in Table S1 for typical experimental values. These results for the (free) energy $E$ (eq 3) of droplets attached to nail-shaped particles of aspect ratio $m = 5.8$ (Figure 3a) and bullet-shaped particles of aspect ratios $m = 6.0$ and $m = 2.1$ (Figure 3b,c) are summarized in Figure 3d–f in the form of phase diagrams in the Young's contact angle $\theta$–droplet volume $V$ plane, for 0.1$V_p < V \leq 1.0V_p$, where $V_p$ is the particle volume and $0.6 \leq \cos \theta \leq 0.4$.

As a general result, the composite droplet-particle shapes show the following behavior: droplets with a volume on the order of the particle volume ($\sim 1.0V_p$) as well as droplets that are small compared with the particle volume ($\sim 0V_p - 0.2V_p$)

---

**Table 1. Summary of the Droplet Locations on the Template Particle As Observed in Experimental Syntheses, for Template Particles of Various Aspect Ratio $m$ and for Different Shapes of the Template Particle (Bullet Shape or Nail Shape)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>droplet location</th>
<th>small $m$ (bullet: 2.1, nail: 3.2)</th>
<th>intermediate $m$ (bullet: 6.2, nail: 5.8)</th>
<th>large $m$ (bullet: 8.6, nail: 10.2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bullet shape</td>
<td>end</td>
<td>edge</td>
<td>edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nail shape</td>
<td>end</td>
<td>edge</td>
<td>edge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
have a high probability to adhere to the long side of the particle, while there is a middle range of droplet volumes for which the droplet’s preferred location is the particle’s flat end. The energy differences between the droplet configuration at the particle’s flat end and at the particle’s long side are on the order of $10^3$ to $10^4$ $kT$ for typical experimental parameters (see Table S1), implying a strong preferential adsorption to either the flat end or the long side. Moreover, the preferred configuration of the assembly is strongly dependent on Young’s contact angle. Focusing on the different template particle shapes, the bullet-shaped particle of aspect ratio 2.1 (Figure 3c) displays a broader range of $\cos \theta$–$V$ combinations than the bullet-shaped particle of aspect ratio 6.0 (Figure 3b) for which the preferred location for the droplet is on the particle flat end, as shown in Figure 3e,f. For bullet-shaped particles of different aspect ratio but with the same surface graftings, we therefore expect the particles of larger aspect ratio to have relatively fewer droplets attached to the flat ends. This prediction is corroborated by our experimental findings where the synthesis of lollipop-shaped particles was successful using bullet-shaped particles with $m = 2.1$ but not using bullet-shaped particles of aspect ratio $m = 6.0$. Our predictions are also in agreement with the experimental results of Peng et al.,$^{35}$ who quote $\cos \theta = 0.4$ and aspect ratio $m = 1.9$ for their template particles for which successful formation of asymmetric heterodimers was reported. Interestingly, the nail-shaped particle (Figure 3a) also has a broader range of $\cos \theta$–$V$ combinations than the bullet-shaped particle of similar aspect ratio (Figure 3b) for which the droplet location at the flat end is energetically favored (green phase in Figure 3d–f). Thus for particles with an aspect ratio around $m = 6.0$, the nail shape increases, with respect to the bullet shape, the range of droplet volumes for which a “lollipop” shape forms during nucleation and growth.

We propose the following explanation for the stabilizing effect of the nail shape. A droplet attached at a flat solid surface has a spherical cap shape (Figure S16), with the contact angle imposed by Young’s Law, as this is the droplet shape with minimal surface area. However, if the solid surface is curved, then the shape of the droplet attached to the solid deviates from a spherical cap because that shape cannot fulfill the boundary conditions imposed by Young’s Law on the contact angle between the fluid–fluid interface and the solid surface anymore. Therefore, small droplets prefer to attach at the particle flat end, in this way keeping a spherical shape. By increasing the droplet volume, the diameter of the spherical cap exceeds the flat end’s diameter at a certain droplet volume, and the droplet is forced to deviate from the spherical cap geometry. The droplet shape on the long side of the particle eventually becomes energetically favorable over the droplet shape at the flat end. The nail-shaped particles, on account of their wider base than the bullet-shaped particles, have a higher number of combinations of $V$ and $\theta$ such that the droplet can keep a spherical cap shape and therefore such that it prefers to stay attached at the flat end. It is important to note that the droplet volumes in these calculations are expressed as a fraction of the particle volume, and hence it is not the absolute value of

Figure 3. (a–c) Model shapes used in the simulations: (a) Nail-shaped particle with aspect ratio 5.8, (b) bullet-shaped particle with aspect ratio 6.0, (c) bullet-shaped particle with aspect ratio 2.1. (d–f) $\cos \theta$–$V$ phase diagrams, where $V$ is the droplet volume expressed in units of the particle volume $V_p$ and $\theta$ is Young’s contact angle (inside the droplet), based on the results in Figures S10–S12. The red areas indicate $\cos \theta$–$V$ combinations for which the droplet prefers to attach at the long side of the particle (i.e., corresponding to $\Delta E < 0$ in Figures S10–S12). The green areas indicate $\cos \theta$–$V$ combinations for which the droplet prefers to attach to the flat end of the particle (i.e., corresponding to $\Delta E > 0$ in Figures S10–S12). Each graph in panels d–f refers to the particle shape in panels a–c, respectively. Possible combinations for the surface tensions $\gamma_1$, $\gamma_2$, and $\gamma_3$ to obtain a certain $\cos \theta$ are shown in Table S2. These phase diagrams prove that by solely slightly tuning the template particle geometry it is possible to direct the droplet nucleation-and-growth process. In panels g and h, we show 3D views of the droplet equilibrium shape (as obtained numerically from our method), attached at the particle in the position with minimum energy, for $V = 0.1 V_p$, $0.4 V_p$, $0.8 V_p$, and $\cos \theta = -0.2$, $0.0$, for the particle shape in panels a and b, respectively. Analogous plots of the droplet equilibrium shape for a wider range of $\cos \theta$ are shown for these two particle shapes in Figures S13 and S14, respectively, and for the particle shape in panel c in Figure S15.
the base diameter that influences the stability of the droplet but rather its value compared with the particle volume. This notion explains why the locus of droplet adsorption is consistent within each heterodimer sample even if the template particles have some polydispersity in width.

These theoretical results also provide insights into the formation mechanism of the asymmetric heterodimers. Because the theory calculates the equilibrium shape of the droplet on the particle, the droplets must have a way of sampling/exploring the differences in local surface tension. Possible ways of sampling the local surface tension are by moving over the surface of the rods until the droplet reaches a local minimum in the adsorption free energy, by Ostwald ripening, or by other droplet growth processes that involve exchange of soluble monomers through the solvent. \(^5\) A combination of these pathways is also possible. In all of these cases, the pathways would be prevented if the droplet had already formed a branched network at the time of nucleation and was in a gel state, as proposed in ref \(^5\). Gelation would inhibit any dissolving of the droplet or any motion over the particle surface. Hence, we conclude that the MPTMS* is primarily a liquid during the initial nucleation, possibly containing oligomers but not exhibiting extensive branching. This conclusion is also in full agreement with the recent beautiful results on “shape-shifting” colloids. \(^6\)

Contact line pinning could potentially play a role in our synthesis mechanism, as it could keep a droplet stuck in a metastable configuration, without allowing it to go to the minimum energy configuration we predict. In 2016, Wang et al. \(^42,43\) showed that contact line pinning plays an important role in particle adsorption at interfaces. Specifically, the authors mention that surface roughness for silica particles and polymer “hairs” for polymer particles can act as pinning sites. Our coated silica template particles likely have both types of surface corrugation. The synthesis mechanism therefore falls directly into the logarithmic relaxation regime observed by the Manoharan group (unlike pinning of macroscopic droplets on macroscopic surfaces), although we consider microscopic droplets rather than adsorption at a liquid interface. Possibly, pinning is responsible for those particles in Figure 1a,b, for which droplets attached on the long side despite the template having a flat end.

Comparison between Experimental and Theoretical Results. From the occasional droplet attached to the long sides of particles shown in Figure 1a, a Young’s contact angle of 63 ± 1° was inferred (with standard deviation 7°, cos \(\theta = 0.45 \pm 0.02\)) for MPTMS* on OTMS-grafted silica in the presence of Pluronic F108 surfactant. For this Young’s contact angle, our calculations in Figure 3d–f predict that the range of droplet volumes for which adsorption at the flat end is energetically favorable is roughly twice as broad for nail-shaped particles as for bullet-shaped particles of the same aspect ratio. The extended droplet volume range exists for small droplets on the order of 0.1\(V_p^\star\) explaining why droplets in the nucleation stage attach preferentially to the flat end for nail-shaped particles. It becomes apparent that the rod-like particles do accommodate droplets of larger volume than 0.1\(V_p^\star\) at the flat end when examining Figures 1a,b and 2. We speculate that perhaps the dynamics of larger droplets slows down as eventually the SCA forms oligomers—a phenomenon related to pinning. The slow droplet dynamics will trap the droplet in the position at the flat end. Such a scenario also explains the droplet spillover seen on some of the particles, as seen for example in the inset of Figure 1a.

The existence of a heterogeneous contact angle, that is, a different Young’s contact angle on the long side and on the flat end of the particles, cannot be excluded entirely. Droplets attached to the flat end, however, cannot provide reliable information on the Young’s contact angle because these droplets can grow beyond their Young’s contact angle before relocating to the long side becomes energetically favorable. For example, in Figure 1a, droplets attached to the flat ends display an average opening angle of 97 ± 2° with a standard deviation of 12% (cos \(\theta = -0.13 \pm 0.03\)) with the flat end. If indeed the flat end exhibits a larger Young’s contact angle, then our calculations predict an even wider range of droplet volumes that can be accommodated at the flat end for nail-shaped particles compared with bullet-shaped particles (Figure 3d–f). A homogeneous contact angle is, however, expected: The grafting with OTMS should have eliminated any differences in carbon chain density at the surface because the radius of curvature for our particles is much larger than of molecular size, as in the case of the gold nanorods mentioned in the Introduction. Our experimental and theoretical results are in perfect agreement for a homogeneous contact angle of 63 ± 7°. The results thus indicate that adsorption at the flat end is purely a geometric effect.

As a control study with the purpose of varying the surface tension of the nucleating agent, we investigated the nucleation and growth of a mixture of MPTMS* and mercapto-propyl-trimethoxysilanes (mercapto-PTMS*) onto nail-shaped particles (Figure 4). For low (25%) volume fractions of mercapto-

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Nucleation and growth of mixed SCAs. TEM micrographs of lollipop-shaped heterodimers prepared from OTMS-grafted nail-shaped particles by nucleation and growth of mixtures of the silane coupling agents MPTMS* and mercapto-PTMS*. (a) 0% v/v mercapto-PTMS. (b) 25% v/v mercapto-PTMS. (c) 50% v/v mercapto-PTMS. (d) 100% v/v mercapto-PTMS. The slight etching of the template particles visible in panel b is due to the presence of ammonia in the reaction mixture during heterodimer synthesis.
PTMS, the geometry of the heterodimers remained intact. For 50% mercapto-PTMS, droplets nucleated partially onto the flat ends and partially onto the remainder of the template particles. For pure (100%) mercapto-PTMS, the droplets are located on the long sides of the template particles as a broad droplet (or possibly as an enveloping ring). In short, with increasing mercapto-PTMS* volume fraction, it becomes energetically favorable for the droplet to attach to the long side. Because the surface tensions against water are similar for these two compounds (see ref 44, although the data are for silane-modified silicas, while the surface tensions for hydrolyzed and slightly polymerized droplets may differ), the cause of the morphological change must be a gradual change in Young’s contact angle. The morphologies in Figure 4b,c are not well described by our theory as we do not consider the possibility of droplet breakup into an end-on and edge-on attached mass. For the droplets on the long sides of the particles in Figure 4d we measure a Young’s contact angle of \(18 \pm 2^\circ\) \((\cos \theta = 0.95 \pm 0.01)\), a value for which the side-on adsorption is indeed favored for any droplet volume according to our theoretical calculations (Figure 3d). Mercapto-PTMS is interesting for future applications on account of its thiol groups, which could bind many kinds of (catalytic) nanoparticles, making these heterodimers suitable for purposes such as heterogeneous catalysis.

Conversely, one might ask whether surface graftings other than OTMS could be employed on the silica rods. As shown in Figure 3d–f, the largest stable droplet volume range exists for nucleating silane coupling agents that do not wet the template surface well \((\cos \theta < 0)\). Therefore, a more hydrophobic grafting, for example, by using a fluorinated silane coupling agent, should accommodate larger nucleating droplet sizes. Sufficient hydrophobization was indeed important as we observed fewer droplets attached to the flat end of the bullets and nails when the OTMS-grafting was carried out for a short \((0.5–2 \text{ h})\) reaction time. On the contrary, a more hydrophilic “grafting” such as MPTMS itself \((\text{or no grafting at all})\) would be an interesting way to covalently couple the nucleating silane coupling agent to the template particle. In initial experiments on spherical templates with an MPTMS grafting (and without any grafting), the present synthesis route, however, yielded silica/MPTMS* heterodimers that had only slightly eccentric geometries (Figure S8). The surfactant used in the synthesis route can also be exploited to use hydrophilic surface graftings. Already when we performed the synthesis with the surfactants Igepal CO-520 and Triton X-100 instead of Pluronic F108, the nucleation and growth step resulted in heterodimers with a more desorbed MPTMS* lobe, as seen by confocal microscopy and TEM, respectively (Figure S9). A hydrophilic grafting on the template particle in combination with a surfactant/nucleating agent with long carbon chains to provide sufficient hydrophobicity is a viable subject for future research.

### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The nucleation and growth of hydrolyzed and slightly condensed/polymerized MPTMS onto bullet-shaped and nail-shaped OTMS-grafted silica particles resulted in asymmetric (“lollipop”) silica/MPTMS* heterodimer colloids, with the MPTMS* droplet attached only to the flat ends of the template particles. For an aspect ratio \(m = 6\), nail-shaped particles displayed a much higher “lollipop”-shaped heterodimer yield than bullet-shaped template particles. The methacryloxy groups of the MPTMS* lobes on dumbbell-shaped and asymmetric heterodimer particles were successfully cross-linked by free radical polymerization, yielding particles resilient to drying. The MPTMS* lobes were labeled with a dye derivative different from the labeled silica rods for confocal microscopy imaging.

Our experimental results are further substantiated by theoretical calculations where we numerically minimize the free energy to predict the most favorable position of a droplet on a template particle, assuming that the regiospecific nucleation-and-growth is an equilibrium process. The theoretical results indicated that, for a given Young’s contact angle, a broader range of droplet volumes can be stably adsorbed at the flat end either by decreasing the template particle’s aspect ratio or by fashioning a small “nail head” onto the template particles. Also, the calculations showed that the largest volume ranges for droplet attachment at the flat end occur when the droplet Young’s contact angle with the particle is >90°. By using mixtures of silane coupling agents, we indeed confirmed experimentally that low Young’s contact angles do not successfully form asymmetric heterodimers. Both theory and experiments thus point at the formation mechanism being an equilibrium process, at least initially. We therefore conclude that the MPTMS* droplet is liquid-like as opposed to gel-like during nucleation; that is, it contains only small oligomers.

In conclusion, we use regiospecific nucleation and growth to design new complex colloids, exploiting the particle geometry to direct the regiospecific attachment. We show by both experiments and theory how differences in the morphology link to improvements in the particle yield, and we expect that these findings will motivate further research into complex particle creation by regiospecific nucleation and growth. Second, the theoretical calculations were performed for finite-volume droplets and including capillary deformation effects, significantly improving our theoretical model in ref 25, where particles at an always-flat fluid—fluid interface were considered. Finally, droplets from SCAs allow for many more functionalizations than purely organic droplets (such as PMMA, PS, as presented by us before25). The current method for inorganic/organic hybrid particles could be selectively modified or extended to other nucleating SCAs (including vinyl, phenyl, and thio-based ones).
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