The role of point defects in PbS, PbSe and PbTe: a first principles study
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Abstract
Intrinsic defects are of central importance to many physical and chemical processes taking place in compound nanomaterials, such as photoluminescence, accommodation of off-stoichiometry and cation exchange. Here, the role of intrinsic defects in the above mentioned processes inside rock salt (RS) lead chalcogenide systems PbS, PbSe and PbTe (PbX) was studied systematically using first principles density functional theory. Vacancy, interstitial, Schottky and Frenkel defects were considered. Rock salt PbO was included for comparison. The studied physical properties include defect formation energy, local geometry relaxation, Bader charge analysis, and electronic structure. The defect formation energies show that monovacancy defects and Schottky defects are favoured over interstitial and Frenkel defects. Schottky dimers, where the cation vacancy and anion vacancy are adjacent to each other, have the lowest defect formation energies at 1.27 eV, 1.29 eV and 1.21 eV for PbS, PbSe and PbTe, respectively. Our results predict that a Pb monovacancy gives rise to a shallow acceptor state, while an X vacancy generates a deep donor state, and Schottky defects create donor-acceptor pairs inside the band gap. The surprisingly low formation energy of Schottky dimers suggests that they may play an important role in cation exchange processes, in contrast to the current notion that only single point defects migrate during cation exchange.
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1. Introduction

Lead chalcogenides (PbX, X = S, Se and Te), a family of narrow band gap IV–VI semiconductors, have been the subject of intensive research due to their applications in many fields such as thermoelectric energy converters [1, 2], thermal photovoltaic devices [3], infrared lasers [4] and light-emitting diodes [5]. Together with the CdX chalcogenides family (CdS, CdSe, CdTe), the PbX systems are abundantly used in heterogeneous nanocrystals (HNCs) to create new materials with novel and tunable physical properties [6–8].

By physical nature, defects inevitably exist in crystals, and play a pivoting role in their growth and synthesis, and in many physical properties of HNCs and multicomponent quantum dots (QDs). First, point defects, depending on the position of their defect states with respect to the band gap, can determine whether the process of the electron–hole recombination in nanocrystals is radiative or non-radiative [9, 10]. Thus, point defects directly affect the quantum yield of nanocrystal (NC) QDs. Second, there is much unclarity in the literature about how the off-stoichiometry of the NCs [11, 12], a typical product of wet chemical synthesis, affects the physical properties. In particular, it is at present unclear whether the excess or depletion of anions or cations is accommodated only at the surface, or also at the interior of the NCs. Third, the very important cation exchange (CE) process that is used to create core-shell type structures and the synthesis of NCs in deviating crystal structures [7, 13–20], requires the migration
of cations, as shown in many experimental studies. In RS chalcogenides, this is assumed to be mediated by cation vacancies [7, 20]. Groeneveld et al proposed that ZnSe–CdSe colloidal quantum dots (QD) can be tailored via a CE mechanism mediated by Frenkel pairs of Zn interstitials Zni and Zn vacancies VZn [18]. Marianna et al proposed a plausible mechanism that the growth of PbSe/CdSe core/shell nanocrystal is formed by CE, where the cation vacancies assist the migration of cations [7]. Yingzhuo et al proposed that CdSe/ZnSe core/shell QD can be synthesised in an aqueous environment through CE [19]. Finally, Yalcin et al recently found that the CE in the solid-solid-vapour (SSV) growth of PhSe/CdSe core/shell HNCs is mediated by Cd vacancies at the PbSe-CdSe interface [20]. However, it is difficult, or even impossible to determine experimentally which types of defects are present in the material and what their role is in, e.g. CE progresses. It was only until recently that more insight into CE was unveiled by first principles calculations of Ag ions in wurtzite CdSe [21]. To the best of our knowledge, our current work is the first DFT study discussing point defects in RS PbX in the context of the CE process. Although in all the experimental literature given above monovacancies are presumed to enable CE, we give an important finding that vacancy complexes, i.e. Schottky defects, cannot be excluded in CE because they possess significantly lower formation energies than monovacancies do. In all these phenomena, the presence of vacancies and other point defects is a crucial parameter. In this work, we identify and characterise the most important point defects in PbX chalcogenides, and discuss their role in the above mentioned processes.

In the current study, four kinds of point defects are considered. These are vacancy, interstitial, Schottky dimer/pair and Frenkel pairs. These defects are schematically shown in figure 1. Vacancies and interstitials contain only one defect site, while Schottky and Frenkel defects include two defect sites. A Schottky defect consists of a cation-anion vacancy pair. A Schottky dimer is defined as the cation vacancy and the anion vacancy sites being adjacent to each other, and in the Schottky pair configuration, the two defect sites are separated as far as possible within a supercell. A Frenkel defect is created when an atom moves from its original place to an interstitial site, whereby a vacancy site and an interstitial site are generated. In binary compounds such as PbX, both the cation Frenkel defect and the anion Frenkel defect need to be considered.

Defects in PbX have received much attention from scientists for decades. The related research has been carried out both experimentally [22–27] and theoretically [23, 28–38]. Miller et al found that the formation energy of a Frenkel defect is higher than a Schottky defect in PbTe, hence the diffusion is essentially controlled by a vacancy-type mechanism [22]. By using a positron annihilation technique, Polity et al established the relationship between Vp concentration and positron lifetime [23]. Yoneda et al reported that Schottky defects will form in PbTe when the crystal is heated over 200°C [25]. Via the measurements of step-scan Fourier-transform infrared photoreflectance (step-scan FTIR PR) on a PbTe thin film, the defect state of Vp was found at 29.1 meV above the conduction band maximum (CBM), and another defect state at 18.1 meV below the valence band maximum (VBM) may be attributed to Vp or threading dislocations, whereas no states were found in the band gap [26]. Koumoulis performed NMR measurements on PbSe, and reported a p-type shallow acceptor state and a n-type deep state at the midgap [27].

Theoretical calculations were also performed on PbX systems. Berashevich carried out a detailed study on vacancy defects in α-PbO (litharge structure) [36], and found that the charged defect states for Vp at 0.1 eV below the VBM, and Vp* at 1.03 eV above the VBM, which were stabilised by charge transfer. For PbS, Ding et al studied the (1 1 0) surface, and found the p-type Vp and n-type Vp defect states. They also found that the structural relaxation at the surface is opposite to the case of bulk PbS: around the defect site, the nearest–neighbouring (NN) atoms of the defect site will be repelled outwards, while the next-nearest–neighbouring (NNN) atoms will move toward the defect site [32]. Walsh studied vacancy defects and Schottky defects in their neutral and charged states [34]. The Schottky defect was found to be preferred over vacancy defects as it has lower formation energy. Donghun et al found for PbS quantum dots (QD) that off-stoichiometry will introduce new states which are highly localised on certain surface atoms [38]. For PbSe, Peng et al performed a series of calculations for bulk PbSe doped with 26 substitutional impurities [35]. Wrasse et al studied the electronic structure of both bulk and nanowire PbSe with group III substitutional impurities [37]. For PbTe, there are a series of theoretical studies concerning the defect states: Parada and Pratt made the first attempt to describe the electronic structure of defective PbTe using the Slater–Koster model, [28, 29] and Lent et al used a tight-binding method to give a qualitative description of the deep defect state in PbTe [30]. However, the calculations in [28–30] are non-self-consistent calculations. Recently, Ahmad and Hoang employed self-consistent DFT calculations for vacancies and substitutional impurities in both bulk [31, 33] and thin-film [33] PbTe. Furthermore, there have been several other theoretical investigations done on perfect PbX systems for structural and electronic properties [39–42], and thermodynamic properties [43–45]. All of these studies are limited to perfect PbX crystals, though, thus no defect was induced.

The formation energy of defects is key to verifying which kind of defect(s) are more likely to be formed inside a crystal, and thus may determine the CE process. Using the first principles DFT approach, we first aim to compare the relative stability of the defect species inside PbX in terms of defect formation energy, so that we can identify which defects may be involved in the CE process. Second, we would like to provide a fundamental and panoramic view of the physical properties of the point defects inside PbX, which is indispensable for further study and applications of these materials. Besides defect formation energies, the physical properties including local geometry relaxation, charge transfer and electronic structure, were analysed. The typical point defects for these compounds are: vacancy, interstitial, Schottky and Frenkel. Even though PbO occurs in the litharge structure rather than the rock salt (RS) phase [46], we include the calculations of RS PbO for comparison.
The content of this paper is organised as follows: the computational details are given in section 2. The results and discussions are presented in sections 3 and 4, respectively; first we will compare the relative stability of all the defect types, after which we select the more stable ones for a more detailed study of physical properties. Finally, the conclusions are given in section 5.

2. Computational details

2.1. Computational settings

All the calculations were carried out using the first principles DFT code VASP [47–49] within the Projector-Augmented Wave (PAW) framework [50]. The Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) formulated by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE) [51] was employed for the exchange and correlation energy terms. The cut-off energy of the wave functions was 500 eV. The cut-off energy of the augmentation functions was 700 eV. Due to computational limitations, we used only the embedded scalar relativistic effect in the VASP code, and the spin–orbit coupling (SOC) is not explicitly included in our calculations. For supercells, the sampling in the reciprocal space was done by using a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mesh with 4 or 6 irreducible $k$ points depending on the symmetry of the systems. For the unit cell of PbX and elemental Pb, a $24 \times 24 \times 24$ mesh containing 455 irreducible $k$ points was used. And for elemental S, due to the large unit cell size, a $4 \times 4 \times 2$ mesh was used with 18 irreducible $k$ points. For elemental Se and Te, a $24 \times 24 \times 20$ mesh with 1103 irreducible $k$ points was used. All the $k$ meshes were $\Gamma$-centered in the reciprocal space.

In our study, we used $3a_0 \times 3a_0 \times 3a_0$ RS supercells containing 216 atoms for all the four PbX systems. Here, $a_0$ is the...
lattice constant, and the optimised $a_0$ is 5.233 Å for PbO, 5.994 Å for PbS, 6.207 Å for PbSe and 6.561 Å for PbTe. Also, the band gaps of the relaxed PbX systems are calculated. These calculated values are compared with selected literature values in table 1 for lattice constants and in table 2 for band gaps. The calculated energies are smaller than 0.02 eV$^{-1}$. This unphysical behaviour is addressed in [42] is caused by the interchange of the cation $p$ character and the anion $p$ character in the VBM and CBM at the L point when SOC is included. This unphysical behaviour is cured by the hybrid functional (HSE) calculation with SOC, –0.32

### 2.2. Definition of defect formation energies

In order to allow a comparison of the relative stability of these defects inside a crystal, we need to first define the formulas to calculate the defect formation energies. This choice of definitions allows a direct comparison with the phase diagrams [58–61]. In the pseudo-binary phase diagrams, the stoichiometric configuration of these three compounds (with 50% atomic percent of the anions) are all very stable at high temperatures as the melting point of the three compounds at stoichiometric configuration is 1118 °C for PbS, 1079 °C for PbSe and 924 °C for PbTe, respectively. Therefore, the choice of the stoichiometric perfect PbX and the elemental phases of the Pb cation and the X anions as reference states for calculating the defect formation energies is cured by the hybrid functional (HSE) calculation with SOC, –0.40

### Table 1. Calculated lattice constants $a$ (Å) of the relaxed unit cell for PbX systems compared with previous theoretical and experimental [52] results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PbX</th>
<th>LAPW-PZ81$^a$</th>
<th>PAW-PBE$^b$</th>
<th>PAW-HSE03$^b$</th>
<th>PAW-PBE$^c$</th>
<th>PAW-PBEsol$^d$</th>
<th>PAW-PBE$^e$</th>
<th>This work (PAW-PBE)</th>
<th>Exp.$^f$ at 300 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.233</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>5.906</td>
<td>6.004</td>
<td>5.963</td>
<td>5.992</td>
<td>5.905</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.994</td>
<td>5.936</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^b$ Reference [42]. HSE03: the hybrid functional developed by Heyd et al [54].
$^c$ Reference [43].
$^d$ Reference [45]. PBEsol: a new PBE intended for solid state and surface systems [55].
$^e$ Reference [46].
$^f$ Reference [52].

Note: The methods of calculation are given by basis set–xc functional.

### Table 2. Calculated band gaps $E_g$ (eV) of the relaxed unit cell for PbX systems compared with previous theoretical and experimental [52] results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PbX</th>
<th>LAPW-PZ81$^a$</th>
<th>PAW-PBE$^b$</th>
<th>PAW-HSE03$^b$</th>
<th>PAW-PBE$^c$</th>
<th>PAW-GW$^d$</th>
<th>PAW-PBEsol$^e$</th>
<th>This work (PAW-PBE)</th>
<th>Exp.$^f$ at 300 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.37 (−0.01)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.31 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.37–0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbSe</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.30 (−0.12)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.21 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.26–0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.73 (−0.01)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.65 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.29–0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^b$ Reference [42]. HSE03: the hybrid functional developed by Heyd et al [54].
$^c$ Reference [43].
$^d$ Reference [45]. PBEsol: a new PBE intended for solid state and surface systems [55].
$^e$ Reference [57].

Note: The values with SOC included are given in parentheses. The methods of calculation are given by basis set–xc functional.

For the comparison of band gaps, we included in table 2 DFT results from the literature calculated both with and without SOC. The inclusion of SOC significantly reduces the band gaps of PbX by pushing up the X $p$ state valence band maximum (VBM) while pushing down the Pb $s$ state conduction band minimum (CBM). The negative band gap, as addressed in [42] is caused by the interchange of the cation $p$ character and the anion $p$ character in the VBM and CBM at the L point when SOC is included. This unphysical behaviour is cured by the hybrid functional (HSE) calculation with SOC, as shown in table 2.

The supercells were built using the optimised lattice constant from the corresponding unit cells. The structural models are shown in figure 1. The defect sites were put as far as possible from each other to avoid artificial interactions between them. In order to determine the defect formation energy, we needed to calculate the energy of the constituent elements in each compound to serve as reference energies. Element Pb was calculated using a 4-atom face-centered cubic (FCC) unit cell. For elemental anion phases, we employed molecular triplet $O_2$ for oxygen, a 128-atoms unit cell for $\alpha$-sulfur and a 3-atom trigonal unit cell for the selenium and tellurium, respectively. The calculated energies are $−3.572$ eV/atom (Pb), $−4.959$ eV/atom (O), $−4.126$ eV/atom (S), $−3.483$ eV/atom (Se) and $−3.142$ eV/atom (Te).

Both the supercell shape and atomic positions were allowed to relax during geometry optimisation. The optimisation was considered to be converged if the difference in energy between the two subsequent electronic optimisation steps was smaller than 1.9 × 10$^{-4}$ eV, while the forces on the atoms were smaller than $−0.02$ eV Å$^{-1}$.
formation energy is reasonable. Here, the number of atoms is always conserved, and all the defects are neutral.

The general formula for defect formation energy is [62, 63],

\[ E^f = E_{\text{defect}} - E_{\text{perfect}} - \sum_i \Delta n_i (\mu'_i + q e_F), \]

(1)

whereby \( E^f \) is the formation energy, \( E_{\text{defect}} \) the total energy of the defect cell and \( E_{\text{perfect}} \) the total energy of the perfect cell. The \( \Delta n_i \)'s are the number of atoms added (\( \Delta n_i > 0 \)) to or subtracted (\( \Delta n_i < 0 \)) from the perfect cell and the \( \mu'_i \)'s are the atomic chemical potentials for these atoms. \( q \) is the charge of the defect and \( e_F \) is the Fermi energy, i.e. the electronic chemical potential.

This expression is simplified for the calculations described in this paper; the possibility of charged defects has not been considered and therefore \( q = 0 \) in equation (1), so that the last term vanishes. The atomic chemical potentials \( \mu \) can be regarded as the atomic reservoir with which the atoms are exchanged. For off-stoichiometric defects (vacancies and interstitials in our case), we set \( \mu_{\text{Pb}} = E(\text{Pb}) \) and \( \mu_X = E(X) \), where \( E(\text{Pb}) \) and \( E(X) \) are the energies of the Pb metal and the elemental phases of chalcogen atoms \( X \), respectively. If we define \( N = 108 \) as the number of one atomic specie inside the PbX supercell, then \( E_{\text{perfect}} \) becomes \( E(\text{Pb}_N X_N) \), and the following equations can be obtained for vacancies and interstitials:

Vacancies:

\[ E^f_{\text{v,Pb}} = E(\text{Pb}_{N-1} X_N) - E(\text{Pb}_N X_N) + E(\text{Pb}), \] (2a)

\[ E^f_{\text{v,X}} = E(\text{Pb}_N X_{N-1}) - E(\text{Pb}_N X_N) + E(X). \] (2b)

Interstitials:

\[ E^f_{\text{i,Pb}} = E(\text{Pb}_{N+1} X_N) - E(\text{Pb}_N X_N) - E(\text{Pb}), \] (2c)

\[ E^f_{\text{i,X}} = E(\text{Pb}_N X_{N+1}) - E(\text{Pb}_N X_N) - E(X). \] (2d)

For stoichiometric defects, i.e. Schottky and Frenkel, the bulk PbX is regarded as the reference atomic reservoir, therefore \( \mu_{\text{Pb}} + \mu_X = E(\text{Pbx}) \), where \( E(\text{Pbx}) \) is the energy of bulk PbX per PbX pair. The defect formation energies are then evaluated by the following equations:

Schottky defects:

\[ E^f_{\text{Schottky}} = E(\text{Pb}_{N-1} X_{N-1}) - \frac{107}{108} E(\text{Pb}_N X_N). \] (3a)

Frenkel defects:

\[ E^f_{\text{Frenkel}} = E(\text{Pb}_N X_N)_{\text{Frenkel}} - E(\text{Pb}_N X_N). \] (3b)

2.3. Analysis of charge density differences

The charge density difference can be used to describe the charge density changes caused by a defect inside a system. It is defined for the chosen defects as follows [64]:

\[ \Delta \rho = \rho(\text{defect}) - \left[ \rho(\text{Pb sublattice}) + \rho(\text{X sublattice}) \right], \] (4)

which is the difference in charge density between the defective system and the sum of its cation and anion sublattices. We compare \( \Delta \rho \) of the nearest-neighbouring (NN) atoms around the defect site with \( \Delta \rho \) of other atoms more distant from the defect site, then the influence on charge distribution from the defect sites can be clearly seen. We also examined the influence of geometry relaxation on charge density difference by comparing the \( \Delta \rho \) patterns calculated using the initial geometries and the relaxed final geometries. We found that the two \( \Delta \rho \) patterns are almost identical, indicating that geometry relaxation has very little effect on charge density difference.

In this work, the charge density difference was calculated using the relaxed structures.

3. Results

We separate the discussion of point defects (single defect site: vacancy and interstitial) and stoichiometric defects (double defect sites: Schottky and Frenkel) as these two groups have different defect configurations. We first compare the formation energy of these defects to determine their relative stability, and choose the more stable ones for further discussion of local relaxation, charge distribution and electronic structure.

3.1. Defect formation energy

The results of defect formation energies are shown in figure 2 and table 3. For RS PbO, only the cation and anion vacancies are structurally stable. Interstitials, Schottky and Frenkel defects in RS PbO all led to litharge-like structures during the geometry relaxation. Therefore, we only include vacancy formation energies for RS PbO here.

The defect formation energy exhibits certain trends along O \( \rightarrow \) S \( \rightarrow \) Se \( \rightarrow \) Te. Along the direction of the increasing atomic size of the chalcogen atom, the formation energy of \( V_{\text{Pb}} \), \( V_X \) and the anion Frenkel are increasing, but the formation energy of the cation Frenkel defects, Schottky dimer and Schottky pair are decreasing. Only the formation energy of the interstitials shows a dip for PbSe.

Comparing the magnitude of the formation energy of these defects, it is clear that the vacancies and Schottky defects are lower than those of the tetragonal and Frenkel defects (except that \( V_{\text{Pb}} \) is lower than \( V_X \) for PbSe), as shown in figure 2 and table 3. Although \( E^f_{\text{v,Pb}} \) and \( E^f_{\text{v,X}} \) cannot be compared directly since they were calculated with different stoichiometries and different formulas, the current results indicate that vacancy-type defects are dominant, and therefore CE may be driven by the more easily formed cation vacancies [20, 65]. Schottky defects are also energetically favoured defects, but they were not seriously considered for the CE processes. Frenkel defects in PbX systems have a much higher formation energy than Schottky defects because the interstitial sites within the Frenkel configuration induce a considerable distortion due to the local expansion of the lattice. For Schottky defects, the Schottky dimer is always more favoured than the Schottky pair.
There are only a handful of defect formation calculations done by \textit{ab initio} DFT methods for PbX [33, 34, 66]. The results from the literature and our calculations are collected in table 3. Our calculated values of the formation energies of neutral defects in PbS are higher than those from Walsh [34] by 0.67 eV and 0.72 eV for \( V_{\text{p}} \), \( V_{\text{s}} \) respectively. The formation energy of the Schottky defect can not be compared since we do not know whether a Schottky dimer or a Schottky pair was studied in [34]. The systematic discrepancy in defect formation energies may originate from the fact that the supercells were not fully relaxed, and due to the larger supercell size (512 atoms) and less \( k \)-point (\( \Gamma \) only) used in [34]. Hoang et al [33] calculated \( E^f \) of \( V_{\text{p}} \) and \( V_{\text{Te}} \) for PbTe using DFT-GGA, \( 2a_0 \times 2a_0 \times 2a_0 \) supercells, and a cut-off energy of 300 eV. Their reported values are 2.187 eV and 2.135 eV for \( V_{\text{p}} \), \( V_{\text{Te}} \) respectively. These values are very close to our results of 1.896 eV (\( \Delta E = 0.291 \text{ eV} \)) for \( V_{\text{p}} \) and 2.129 eV (\( \Delta E = 0.006 \text{ eV} \)) for \( V_{\text{Te}} \). Chai et al reported the Schottky dimer formation energy of PbSe to be 1.47 eV. They used a smaller supercell of \( 2a_0 \times 2a_0 \times 2a_0 \), and 450 eV of cut-off energy. The difference between 1.47 eV and our value of 1.29 eV is thus expected and is due to the different computational settings.

The defect formation energy is caused by a sum of effects, being mainly, (1) ionic size, (2) Coulomb interactions, (3) swelling or shrinkage of the lattice and (4) the local relaxations around the defect site, which may all play a role in determining the magnitude of the formation energy of defects. These effects will be addressed in the following sections.

3.2. Local geometry relaxation

The comparison of the local relaxation around the defect site is listed in tables 4–6. In order to describe the pictures more clearly, we call the NN Pb atoms of an X vacancy as \( \text{Pb}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_X)} \), and the NN X atoms of a Pb vacancy site as \( \text{X}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_{\text{p}})} \). For \( V_{\text{p}} \), the \( \text{X}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_{\text{p}})} \) expand outward, but the extent of the expansion becomes smaller with the increasing atomic size of the X atoms. For \( V_X \), there is not a simple trend found; the \( \text{Pb}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_X)} \) may shrink inward or expand outward. In the study of \( V_{\text{p}} \) and \( V_{\text{Te}} \) in PbTe [33], it was found that the NN Te atoms around \( V_{\text{p}} \) expand by \( \sim0.024 \text{ Å} \), and the NN Pb atoms of \( V_{\text{Te}} \) relax inward by \( 0.07 \text{ Å} \).

The interstitial defects result in a large structural distortion to the crystal, which may be the reason for the higher defect formation energy for interstitials.

For Schottky defects, both for the Schottky dimer and Schottky pair, the NN atoms of the cation and anion vacancy sites expand outward during relaxation. \( \text{Pb}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_{\text{p}})} \) relax more outwards than \( \text{X}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_{\text{p}})} \). Along the direction of \( S \rightarrow \text{Se} \rightarrow \text{Te} \), the degree of expansion is decreasing for the NNs of \( V_{\text{p}} \), but increasing for the NNs of \( V_X \). The reason for these trends is that the atomic size is growing along \( S \rightarrow \text{Se} \rightarrow \text{Te} \) (table 8). With the larger atomic size, it is more difficult to displace the anion atoms, which results in the decreasing magnitude of expansion for \( \text{X}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_{\text{p}})} \). On the other hand, the expansion of the \( \text{Pb}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_{\text{p}})} \) is a net result of the electrostatic interactions, which will be addressed in section 3.4. The \( \text{X}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_{\text{p}})} \) (except for PbS) and \( \text{Pb}^{}_{\text{NN}(V_{\text{p}})} \) expand slightly more in the Schottky pair than in the Schottky dimer, which implies that the binding interaction within the Schottky dimer attracts the NN atoms more. Here, we discussed qualitatively how the relaxations affect atomic mobility. For a quantitative assessment, energy barriers would need to be calculated, which is beyond the scope of this work.

In Frenkel defects, all of the nearest neighbours of the defect sites underwent expansion. In particular, at the interstitial sites, the huge expansion of their NN atoms could be the reason why the Frenkel defects are less stable.

3.3. Bader charge

The Bader charge for the NNs of each defect site is presented in table 7 and in figure 3. We also listed the ionic radius and electronegativity of the anions in table 8. As the atomic number increases, the ionic radius of the chalcogen ions increases, and their electronegativity decreases. Thus, one can expect that the extent of the ionic character in the Pb–X bonding will also decrease with \( O \rightarrow S \rightarrow \text{Se} \rightarrow \text{Te} \), resulting in less charge transfer. This is clearly seen in figure 3. For both defect-free and defective PbX systems, the Bader charge of both Pb and X atoms decreases with \( O \rightarrow S \rightarrow \text{Se} \rightarrow \text{Te} \). It is worth noting that...
V_f is the distance displacement defined as $r_{fi} - r_{fi}^{rel}$ for Frenkel defects, and $r_{di} - r_{di}^{rel}$ for Schottky defects. The unit of length is Å.

### Table 3. Defect formation energy (eV/defect) of the intrinsic defects selected in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PbX</th>
<th>$V_{Pb}$</th>
<th>$V_X$</th>
<th>$I_{Pb}$</th>
<th>$I_X$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>Lit.</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>Lit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbSe</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.36$^a$</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.77$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Reference [34]. GGA-PBE, cut-off energy = 500 eV, $4a_0 \times 4a_0 \times 4a_0$ supercell, $\Gamma$-point-only $k$-mesh, geometry relaxation not specified.

### Table 4. Relative displacement of the nearest neighbours of vacancy and interstitial sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d_i$</th>
<th>$d_{Pb-X}$</th>
<th>$d_{Pb-X,int}$</th>
<th>$d_{Pb}$</th>
<th>$d_{X}$</th>
<th>$\Delta r$</th>
<th>$\Delta r$ (%)</th>
<th>$d_{Pb}$</th>
<th>$d_{X}$</th>
<th>$\Delta r$</th>
<th>$\Delta r$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>2.618</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.787</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>2.680</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>2.997</td>
<td>3.078</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2.963</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.855</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbSe</td>
<td>3.703</td>
<td>3.153</td>
<td>3.155</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2.948</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>2.946</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>3.280</td>
<td>3.283</td>
<td>3.150</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.130</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>3.134</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $d_i$ denotes the initial distance, where $d_{Pb-X}$ is the distance between Pb and the nearest X atom, and $d_{Pb-X,int}$ is the distance between an interstitial atom and its nearest neighbour. $d_{Pb}$ is the final Pb-X distance in the relaxed supercells. $\Delta r$ is the distance displacement defined as $\Delta r = d_i - d_f$, and $\Delta r$ (%) is $\Delta r$ scaled with respect to $d_i$. The unit of length is Å.

### Table 5. Relative displacement of the nearest neighbours of the Schottky defect sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d_i$</th>
<th>$d_{Pb-X}$</th>
<th>$V_{Pb}$</th>
<th>$V_X$</th>
<th>$I_{Pb}$</th>
<th>$I_X$</th>
<th>$\Delta r$</th>
<th>$\Delta r$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>2.997</td>
<td>3.091</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.142</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbSe</td>
<td>3.103</td>
<td>3.153</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>3.273</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>3.280</td>
<td>3.283</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.483</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. Relative displacement of the nearest neighbours of the Frenkel defect sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d_i$</th>
<th>$d_{Pb-X}$</th>
<th>$V_{Pb}$</th>
<th>$V_X$</th>
<th>$I_{Pb}$</th>
<th>$I_X$</th>
<th>$\Delta r$</th>
<th>$\Delta r$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>2.997</td>
<td>2.595</td>
<td>3.089</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.848</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbSe</td>
<td>3.103</td>
<td>2.668</td>
<td>3.163</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.941</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>3.280</td>
<td>2.841</td>
<td>3.306</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>3.107</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The charge on either the cation or the anion is not greater than 1 $e$, which matches the previously calculated value of 0.85 $e$ for Pb and $-0.85 e$ for S in bulk PbS [67]. This suggests that the classical ionic model of Pb$_{2x}$X$_2$– can be applied to PbX only for qualitative approximation, and the bonding within these systems is covalent in nature [68].
Figure 3. Bader charge of the defect sites. The black dots denote the results for the Pb atoms, and the red dots for the X atoms.

### 3.4.1. \( \text{V}_\text{Pb} \) defects. Figures 4(e)–(h) show \( \Delta \rho \) for \( \text{V}_{\text{Pb}} \) in PbX. After comparing with the perfect lattices, only the NN anions of the vacancy site have a clear change in \( \Delta \rho \). This means that the perturbation of charge density introduced by the Pb vacancy inside the crystal is very localised. PbO behaves quite differently to the other PbX systems. The charge density is evenly distributed around the nucleus in an almost unperturbed way. This accumulation of charge density may be caused by the fact that the oxygen \( \rho \) orbitals are more tightly bound to the nuclei, so that the electron density is trapped at these orbitals. PbS, PbSe and PbTe all exhibit similar \( \Delta \rho \) patterns. In these three systems, the polarisation of the electron density indicates that the NN anions possess two kinds of interactions: first, the NN anions mutually repel each other due to Coulomb interaction caused by the absence of the Pb atom at the vacant site. And second is the interaction with their neighbouring Pb cations: between the NN anions and their Pb neighbours there is an accumulated charge density, and this charge density is polarised towards the Pb cations. The net results of these two interactions are the polarised charge density of the NN anions and the expanded relaxation of the Pb-X distance around \( \text{V}_{\text{Pb}} \), as shown in table 4. The extent of this polarisation decreases with \( \text{O} \rightarrow \text{S} \rightarrow \text{Se} \rightarrow \text{Te} \), reflecting the fact that the ionicity of the chalcogen anions decreases along \( \text{O} \rightarrow \text{S} \rightarrow \text{Se} \rightarrow \text{Te} \).

### 3.4.2. \( \text{V}_\text{X} \) defects. The electron density is polarised in the case of \( \text{V}_\text{X} \) as well (figures 4(i)–(l)). In the case of \( \text{V}_\text{X} \), the NN anions of the vacancy site expand more along \( \text{O} \rightarrow \text{S} \rightarrow \text{Se} \rightarrow \text{Te} \) in Schottky configurations, which is described in section 3.2. As shown in figure 4, the charge density of \( \text{Pb}^{\text{NN}(\text{V}_\text{X})} \) is polarised towards the \( \text{V}_\text{X} \) site as they do in the \( \text{V}_\text{X} \) case, but the extent of polarisation decreases drastically along \( \text{O} \rightarrow \text{S} \rightarrow \text{Se} \rightarrow \text{Te} \). On the other hand, these \( \text{Pb}^{\text{NN}(\text{V}_\text{X})} \) also undergo

### Table 7. Bader charge of the nearest neighbouring (NN) atoms of the vacancy, Schottky dimer and Schottky pair defects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \text{V}_\text{Pb} )</th>
<th>( \text{V}_\text{X} )</th>
<th>( \text{V}_\text{X} )</th>
<th>( \text{V}_\text{X} )</th>
<th>( \text{V}_\text{X} )</th>
<th>( \text{V}_\text{X} )</th>
<th>( \text{V}_\text{X} )</th>
<th>( \text{V}_\text{X} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Pb} )</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Pb} )</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Pb} )</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Pb} )</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8. Ionic radius \( r_{\text{ion}} \), electronegativity \( \chi \) and Bader charge (in perfect PbX supercell) of O, S, Se and Te.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \text{X} )</th>
<th>( r_{\text{ion}} ) of ( \text{X}_{\text{\text{\rlap{-}2}}} ) (( \text{Å} ))</th>
<th>( \chi ) (Pauling scale)</th>
<th>Bader charge (q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O} )</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{S} )</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Se} )</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Te} )</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{a} \) Reference [69]
an attraction from the neighbouring X atoms through the polarised charge density between the two sides, and this attraction remains significant along $S \rightarrow Se \rightarrow Te$. Therefore, the net result is that the PbVNN X will be attracted more towards their neighbouring X atoms along $S \rightarrow Se \rightarrow Te$.

3.5. Electronic structure

Band structure and density of states (DOS) calculations have been done for $V_{Pb}$, $V_{X}$, the Schottky dimer and Schottky pair defect configurations, and the details are included in the SI. Since the smallest band gap occurs at the L point in the first Brillouin zone, we summarised all the positions of the defect states at the L point into figure 6. As one can see, along the direction of $O \rightarrow S \rightarrow Se \rightarrow Te$, the $V_{X}$ position shifts toward CBM, forming a deep defect state lying in the band gap. On the other hand, the $V_{Pb}$ state generates a shallow state near the VBM margin, and the position of this state does not vary along $O \rightarrow S \rightarrow Se \rightarrow Te$. For the Schottky dimer (SD) and Schottky pair (SP) defects, we see that both the $V_{Pb}$ and $V_{X}$ states appear at the position similar to their single vacancy counterpart. But the $V_{X}$ states shift upward toward the CBM with decreasing inter-defect distance, i.e. the distance of the $V_{X}$ defect state to the CBM is $V_{X} > SP > SD$. Therefore, the closer the two vacancy sites are, the closer the $V_{X}$ state is to the CBM.
4. Discussions

4.1. Equilibrium defect concentration as a function of temperature

For the four kinds of stable defects chosen in this paper, we would give an estimate of their equilibrium concentration in bulk. The expression of free energy per atom \( f \) in terms of the equilibrium defect concentration \( x_d \) can be used for this purpose (readers are referred to the SI and [74] for a derivation.)

\[
f = F / n = x_d E^f + k_B T [x_d \ln x_d + (1 - x_d) \ln (1 - x_d)],
\]

where \( F \) is the total free energy of the system, \( n \) is the total number of atoms, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is the temperature in K. From the minimum of \( f(x_d) \), we can determine the equilibrium defect concentration \( x_d \) at different temperatures. Temperatures of 298 K, 500 K, 750 K and 1000 K were selected, and the results are shown both in figure 7 and table 10. At first glance, the temperature dependence of \( x_d \) can be clearly seen, and the defect concentration increases with increasing temperature in an asymptotic manner. But generally speaking, the defect concentrations are very low: for PbS, for instance, the defect concentration at 500 K is \( 1.11 \times 10^{-18} \) for \( V_{Pb} \), \( 1.14 \times 10^{-21} \) for \( V_{X} \), \( 1.46 \times 10^{-13} \) for the Schottky dimer, and \( 1.43 \times 10^{-18} \) for the Schottky pair (here the defect concentration is expressed per Schottky pair, not per defect site). These low concentrations imply that in nanocrystals which contain only tens of thousands of atoms, there will be not a single defect present in the PbX nanocrystals. The defect formation energy might be different on the surfaces and in the bulk. As indicated by Hoang et al, \( E^f \) for \( V_{Pb} \) at the surface is 0.181 eV lower than that in the bulk, and the formation energy for \( V_{X} \) is lowered by 0.207 eV. In future works, it would be useful to calculate the defect formation energies also for surfaces and interfaces, to obtain a more complete understanding of defect energetics in PbX crystals. In addition, compound nanocrystals are in general not stoichiometric [11, 12], so that excess or depletion of one atomic species has to be accommodated either at the surface or in the interior of the nanocrystal.

The phase diagrams of PbX indicate that the stoichiometric configuration is very stable even at temperatures over 1000 K, implying that it is difficult for defects to be formed inside PbX lattices. This corresponds well to the low concentrations calculated here.

4.2. Coulomb model for Schottky defects

Since the inter-defect distance is a parameter that influences the physical properties in stoichiometric defects, we attempt to explain its effect by a simple Coulomb model. The Schottky configurations are chosen for this purpose because they are more stable than the Frenkel defect. We also took two more Schottky configurations named S2 and S3, with an inter-vacancy distance between the Schottky dimer and Schottky pair, and the \( V_{X} \) site located on or near the body diagonal of the supercell, to obtain enough data points. The relationship between the defect formation energy and the inter-vacancy distance for the four Schottky defects is shown in figure 8. It is clear that \( E^f \) converges apace with increasing inter-vacancy distance, which indicates that the interaction between \( V_{Pb} \) and \( V_{X} \) is short-ranged. The formula used to calculate the Coulomb potential is

\[
\text{Table 9. Charges integrated inside a sphere located at the anion vacancy defect site in PbX systems.}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PbX</th>
<th>( r_{Pb} ) Å</th>
<th>( r_{X} ) Å</th>
<th>Charge (e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbS</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbSe</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbTe</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This expression gives a Coulomb potential experienced by a vacancy site from its NNs, where $\epsilon$ is the Coulomb constant, $\epsilon$ is the dielectric constant of the PbX systems (169, 204 and 414 at 300 K for PbS, PbSe and PbTe, respectively [24]), $q_v$ is the effective charge located on the $V_X$ site, $q_{j\text{NN}}$ is the effective charge of the $j$th NN of the selected $V_X$ and $r$ is the inter-defect distance in Å.

The important parameter to be determined in equation (6) is the effective charges. The effective charge is defined as the charge difference on a certain atomic site before and after that atom is removed. For the effective charge located at the vacancy site, it is calculated by the following equation:

$$U = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon} \sum_j q_j q_{j\text{NN}} \frac{1}{r}.$$  (6)
inter-vacancy distance will result in PL peaks with smaller energy. Although in the present study we only consider point defects in bulk, defects at the surfaces, interfaces and defects therein may also play a role in the recombination process of PL in PbX [76, 77].

(ii) Off-stoichiometry

We have also investigated the energetics of off-stoichiometry for PbX bulk crystals. Table 3 indicates that the formation energy of $V_{\text{Pb}}$ is smaller than $V_X$ for all PbX systems, so an excess of anions is more easily accommodated than an excess of cations. Interstitials are unfavourable for both species. However, the defect energies are all quite high, so that off-stoichiometry is unfavourable. Phase separation may be hampered by the nucleation barrier for the formation of pure Pb and X phases. For the case of NCS, part of the off-stoichiometry can be accommodated at the surface, also depending on the type of ligands used [11, 12]. The effects would be interesting to investigate in future studies.

(iii) Cation exchange

Considering all the defect formation energies, Schottky defects, SP and SD are significantly lower in formation energy than the cation and anion vacancies. Therefore, it becomes clear that Schottky defects may play a much more important role in the CE process than is currently presumed. This surprising result should be investigated in more detail in future studies, such as DFT simulations on migration energies, and MD simulations of CE.

(iv) Local geometry relaxation and charge distribution at defects

Because of the huge displacements of NN atoms around interstitial-type defects, anion and cation interstitial defects and Frenkel defects are less favoured. The result of local relaxations showed that the expansion or contraction of the NNs of a defect site is a net result of the electrostatic interaction among the atoms in that local environment. Bader charge analysis revealed that the degree of charge transfer decreases with $O \rightarrow S \rightarrow Se \rightarrow Te$, in accordance with the trend of their electronegativity. Charge density difference plots indicated that charge density is locally polarised around the defect site, and electrode type behaviour with a trapped charge is found at the anion vacancy site. In summary, we see a rich variety of physical properties introduced defects in the PbX semiconductor family, with direct implications for the synthesis and performance of PbX-containing HNCs.

In a future work, this study could be extended to charged defects, and to the calculation of energy barriers for migration of vacancy-type defects.
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