Synthesis of Monodisperse, Highly Cross-Linked, Fluorescent PMMA Particles by Dispersion Polymerization
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ABSTRACT: We describe a facile method to synthesize sterically stabilized monodisperse fluorescent poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) colloids in the polar solvent mixture water/methanol with either a core−shell or a homogeneously cross-linked structure by dispersion polymerization. The particles were sterically stabilized by the polymer poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP). The morphology of the particles was controlled by varying the moment at which the gradual addition of cross-linker and dye was started. The absence of these extra agents at a time when the particle nuclei formed reduced the negative effects on this important process to a minimum and produced a core−shell structure, whereas an essentially homogeneously cross-linked fluorescent polymer colloid structure could be obtained by reducing the starting time of the addition of dye and cross-linker to zero. Three different dyes were chemically incorporated into the polymer network. Such dyes are important for the use of the particles in confocal scanning laser microscopy studies aimed at characterizing concentrated dispersions quantitatively in real space. A series of PMMA particles with different sizes were obtained through the variation of the weight ratio of solvents and the content of cross-linker. Furthermore, the swelling properties of the cross-linked PMMA particles in a good solvent (tetrahydrofuran) were investigated. The particles were stable in polar solvents (water and formamide) but could also successfully be transferred to apolar solvents such as decahydronaphthalene (decalin). The PVP stabilizer also allowed the particles to be permanently bonded in flexible strings by the application of an external electric field.

INTRODUCTION

The preparation of monodisperse, spherical colloidal particles has received a great deal of attention because they can be used as model systems for studying crystallization, melting, freezing, gelation, vitrification, and the rheology of colloidal materials. For recent reviews, see refs 1−4. If monodisperse particles of micrometer size are combined with fluorescent dyes, one can directly observe them on a single-particle level and study the behavior of even concentrated colloidal dispersions in real space to obtain insight into colloidal structures and dynamics using confocal scanning laser microscopy.1−7 Almost all investigations on quantitative 3D confocal microscopy have been performed using either fluorescently labeled silica or sterically stabilized poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) colloids. Sterically stabilized PMMA particles under refractive-index-matching conditions are a well-established hard-sphere model system.8 The variety of possible interaction potentials has been significantly extended by controlling particle charges and the use of external electric fields, which can even be combined with index and density matching.9,10 The range of the repulsive interactions for even micrometer-sized particles can be varied from many times the particle diameter to almost hard-sphere-like and by the use of external electric fields with frequencies high enough that the double-layer ions cannot follow the field; a dipolar interaction can be superimposed on the soft or hard interaction as well.9 For many model studies that use large (micrometer-sized) particles, it is also important that the density of PMMA particles is much easier to match than that of silica.9,11 Although non-cross-linked PMMA particles are used often, these particles have the disadvantage that they cannot be dispersed in many solvents because the particles swell or even fall apart. In addition, there has been a particularly strong demand for highly cross-linked polymer beads in applications because they have superior heat resistance, solvent resistance, and mechanical strength to serve as spacers for display panels, electronic paper, reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography fillers, medical and chemical applications such as absorbents, and in polymer-supported catalysis.12−15 Finally, spherical, often cross-linked polymer colloids have recently regained interest for their ability to be used as the starting point for making more complex colloids.16−21 For instance, by heating and stretching, spherical PMMA particles can be turned into ellipsoids, the interactions of which can be modified in interesting ways, giving rise to monodisperse patchy anisotropic particles.20 PMMA particles can be turned into regular clusters by the use of an emulsion templating method (ref 21 and cited work). They have also been used for the synthesis of 2D sheets22 and 1D strings through the application of an external electric field.23 In addition, several groups have taken up older methods16 that
make use of a swelling step of already-synthesized cross-linked particles with monomer for a second polymerization step that could result in many different morphologies.26–29

However, the preparation of sterically stabilized fluorescent and monodisperse, micrometer-sized (~1 μm) PMMA particles, preferably with core–shell morphology, is still a major challenge.24–26 So far, micrometer-sized particles could be made by the successive seeded emulsion polymerization developed by Vanderhoff27 or the activated swelling and suspension polymerization methods developed by Ugelstad.28

The dispersion polymerization method has received a great deal of attention over the years for the synthesis of model colloids21,24–26,29–41 because of the simplicity of the process of making larger micrometer-sized spheres in one step, the fact that sterically stabilized particles can be dispersed in apolar solvents, making index and density matching a possibility, and that sterically stabilized particles with core–shell morphology, is still a major challenge.24–26 So far, micrometer-sized particles could be made by the successive seeded emulsion polymerization developed by Vanderhoff27 or the activated swelling and suspension polymerization methods developed by Ugelstad.28

The dispersion polymerization method has received a great deal of attention over the years for the synthesis of model colloids21,24–26,29–41 because of the simplicity of the process of making larger micrometer-sized spheres in one step, the fact that sterically stabilized particles can be dispersed in apolar solvents, making index and density matching a possibility, and that sterically stabilized particles with core–shell morphology, is still a major challenge.24–26 So far, micrometer-sized particles could be made by the successive seeded emulsion polymerization developed by Vanderhoff27 or the activated swelling and suspension polymerization methods developed by Ugelstad.28

As mentioned, dispersion polymerization is typically carried out in a nonpolar organic medium. There are many publications describing the preparation of PMMA particles in nonpolar media via dispersion polymerization; however, almost all of the sterically stabilized PMMA particles used as a colloidal model system follow the synthesis path developed by researchers at ICI and the Bristol group.26,29 It involves a somewhat difficult to reproduce, lengthy synthesis of a comb graft copolymer called PHS-A-g-PMMA (a poly(12-hydroxystearic acid) (PHSA) graft PMMA copolymer)26,29 and a final locking step of the stabilizer after the dispersion polymerization in a higher-boiling alkane. Bosma et al.32 synthesized fluorescently dyed monomers 2-(methyl(7-nitrobenzo[c]-1,2,5]oxadiazol-4-yl)amino)ethyl methacrylate (also known as 4-(N-methyl-N-(methacryloxyloxyethyl)-amino)-7-nitrobenzo-2-oxa-1,3-diazol, NBD) and N-[9-(2-carboxy-4-(3-(4-vinylphenyl)thioureido)phenyl)-6-(diethylamino)-3H-xanthene-3-yldene]-N-ethylethanaminium chloride (also known as (rodamine b isothiocyanate)-aminostyrene, RAS) and copolymerized them with plain monomers to obtain fluorescent particles using this ICI/Bristol recipe. The particles were uniform in size and suitable for microscopy. Dullens et al.34,35 adopted a similar approach in which they prepared cross-linked fluorescent PMMA using ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) to be able to make fluorescent core–shell particles. Dullens noticed that having dye and a cross-linking agent present during particle nucleation had a negative effect on the results, and he started adding these components slowly during the synthesis. However, when the amount of cross-linker was increased to 1.5 wt % of the total monomer mass, the PMMA particles deformed into nonspherical objects.40 This same problem is also known in the dispersion polymerization of styrene.41 Others also made modifications to the original recipe to try to improve several difficulties, which are the length of the total procedure, the reproducibility of making the stabilizer, the final particle size, and the fact that the fluorescent monomers degrade (bleach) at the relatively high temperature used.26,36–39

Several of these difficulties were also experienced by Winnik’s group24,25 when they tried to make fluorescent particles of polystyrene (PS) using the more polar ethanol/water solvent mixture with a stabilizer more suited to this environment: poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP). This group found, similarly to Dullens et al. for the dispersion polymerization of PMMA,44,45 that the nucleation stage was much more sensitive to the additions of dye, cross-linker, and other monomers and the wide variety of monomers that can be successfully polymerized.29

In this article, we describe how we adopted the more polar dispersion polymerization of PMMA24,25,42 to two stages. Through this alternative route, we circumvent the difficult-to reproduce PHSA-graft-PMMA copolymer as a stabilizer and obtain a sterically stabilized system that can also be used in more polar solvents. Three key features of this approach are the following: (1) By keeping the heterogeneous reagents (dye, cross-linker, and comonomer) at low concentrations either during the partial or whole reaction process, the final obtained particles stay spherical and stable. (2) By starting the addition of cross-linker (EGDMA) and/or dye later during the reaction, the homogeneously cross-linked structure can be continuously changed to a core–shell cross-linked structure. We used three fluorescent monomers 2-(methyl(7-nitrobenzo[c]-1,2,5]oxadiazol-4-yl)amino)ethyl methacrylate (NBD), N-[9-(2-carboxy-4-(3-(4-vinylphenyl)thioureido)phenyl)-6-(diethylamino)-3H-xanthene-3-yldene]-N-ethylethanaminium chloride (RAS), and 7-(diethylamino)-2-oxo-N-(4-vinylphenyl)-2H-chromene-3-carboxamide (previously known as (7-(diethylamino)-coumarin-3-carboxylic acid)-aminostyrene, CAS)) whose chemical structures are shown in Scheme 1. (3) The size of the PMMA particles could be controlled in the micrometer range by varying the ratio of polar solvents or the high concentration of cross-linker. Moreover, we also explored the properties of the resulting cross-linked particles in good solvent tetrahydrofuran (THF), and thanks to the fluorescent dyes incorporated into the polymer network, we were able to study their internal structure by confocal scanning laser
microscopy. Additionally, even although the synthesized PVP-stabilized PMMA particles are not sterically stabilized in more apolar solvents such as decalin and cyclohexyl bromide, in which most of the earlier density and index-matching studies were performed, we found and describe that a recently published method of transferring such particles from a more polar environment to an apolar solvent, developed by Behrens et al.\textsuperscript{35} using a nonionic surfactant, also worked for the particles described in this article. Finally, a recently developed method from our group\textsuperscript{3,3} that makes use of external electric fields and sterically stabilized polymer particles was used to turn the PVP-stabilized fluorescent beads into flexible strings dispersed in the polar formamide solvent.

\section*{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Materials.} Methyl methacrylate (MMA, Aldrich) was passed over an inhibitor removal column (Aldrich) at room temperature. After the inhibitor had been removed, MMA was stored in a refrigerator at +5 °C for not longer than 1 month. Azobis-isobutyronitrile (AIBN, Janssen Chimica) was recrystallized from ethanol before use. Ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as the cross-linking agent. Poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP, K-90, Sigma) with a molecular weight of 360 000 g/mol was used as the stabilizer. Fluorescent monomers 2-(methyl(7-nitrobenzo[c][1,2,5]oxadiazol-4-y)]amiino)ethyl methacrylate (NBD), \( \text{N}(-\text{9-}(2\text{-carboxy-4-(3-(4-vinylphenyl)thioureido)phenyl})-6-(\text{diethy lamino})-3\text{-fluoren-3-yl)phenyl})-\text{N-ethylthelaminum chloride (RAS, and 7-}(\text{diethy lamino})-2-oxo-\text{N}(4-(vinylphenyl)-2\text{-chromene-3-carboxylic acid (CAS) were pre}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\footnotesize
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccccccccccccc}
\hline
\textbf{batch no.} & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 \\
\hline
\textbf{methanol/water (wt\%)} & 10.0 & 9.1 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 & 8.2 \\
\hline
\textbf{EGDMA (wt \%)}\textsuperscript{a} & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline
\textbf{dye} & NBD & CAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS & RAS \\
\hline
\textbf{addition start time (h)} & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 0 & 0.33 & 1 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 \\
\hline
\textbf{total addition time (h)} & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3.5 & 3.5 & 3.5 & 3.17 & 2.5 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline
\textbf{SLS radius (Rfl)} (nm) & 880 & 630 & 550 & 625 & 500 & 540 & 500 & 430 & 385 & 415 & 550 & 540 & 515 & 480 & 530 & 545 & 545 \\
\hline
\textbf{SLS polydispersity (\%)} & 5 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 8 & 7 & 7 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
\hline
\hline
\textbf{SEM polydispersity (\%)} & 3.6 & 5.2 & 3.7 & 4.9 & 5.1 & 3.4 & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4.1 & 3.4 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 \\
\hline
\textbf{Rf (nm)} & 870 & 1060 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 & 809 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Details for the Highly Cross-Linked Fluorescent Latexes\textsuperscript{a}}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{a}Other chemicals: PVP, 3 g; AIBN, 0.025 g; and MMA, 2.5 g. \textsuperscript{b}Solvents: 30.71 g. \textsuperscript{c}Based on the MMA mass. \textsuperscript{d}RAS: 0.5 wt % based on the MMA mass. NBD and CAS did not dissolve well in the mixture of methanol, water, and cross-linker; therefore, they were dissolved in methanol first and then added to the mixture that was slowly added to the growing particles.

As mentioned, our method is an adaptation of the work of Shen et al.\textsuperscript{24} and Song et al.\textsuperscript{24,25} In detail, the solvent mixture consisted of methanol and water containing 8.3 wt % PVP stabilizer. Two thirds of this mixture, all of the monomer (MMA), and all of the initiator (AIBN) were placed in a 250 mL, three-necked flask equipped with a gas supply, a condenser, and a Teflon-coated stir bar. After a homogeneous solution had formed at room temperature, nitrogen was bubbled through the reaction system at room temperature for 30 min. Then, the flask was immersed in a silicon oil bath, which was maintained at 55 °C and stirred at 100 rpm. Different amounts of cross-linker (EGDMA) and fluorescent dyes were dissolved in the remaining one-third of the solvent mixture. (The total volume of the solvent mixture was about 13 mL.) The mixture was fed into the reaction vessel dropwise above the reaction system at a constant rate (for example, if the total addition time was 2 h, then the addition rate of the solvent mixture was about 6.5 mL/h and the addition rate of the dye and cross-linker can be calculated similarly) with the aid of a peristaltic pump. The addition was started after the polymerization reaction had run for a certain period of time, which is listed in Table 1. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was maintained at 55 °C for 24 h before cooling. The final particles were washed about three times with methanol using a centrifuge (Hettich Rotina 46 S centrifuge, at 315 g for 20 min) to remove the free stabilizer.

By using the same method as described above, multiple batches of fluorescent and nonfluorescent latexes were prepared. The details of these preparations are summarized in Table 1.

\textbf{Characterization of the Latex Particles.} To determine the size and polydispersity of the particles, static light scattering (SLS) was performed on highly dilute suspensions in methanol with home-built equipment using a He-Ne laser as a light source (632.8 nm, 10 mW). The logarithm of the scattering intensity was plotted against the scattering vector \( k = 4\pi n \sin(\theta/2)/\lambda \), where \( n \) is the solvent refractive index, \( \theta \) is the scattering angle, and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength in vacuum. The SLS profiles were compared with theoretical curves calculated with the full Mie solution for the scattering form factor for (polydisperse) particles with a core–shell or a homogeneous structure.\textsuperscript{34}

The radius of the PMMA particles after synthesis was first assessed by SLS in a solvent in which the particles do not swell (methanol). Subsequently, the swelling ratio was determined in THF, a good solvent for PMMA. The degree of swelling was quantified by the swelling ratio \( \alpha \)

\begin{equation}
\alpha = \frac{R_u}{R_s}
\end{equation}

where the particle radius in methanol (inferred from the SLS data) was taken to be \( R_u \), \( R_s \) is the radius of swollen particles. To account for the penetration of solvent into the particles and the dissolution of the un-cross-linked core in the good solvent in the case of core–shell-structured particles, an effective refractive index \( n_e \) was used in the
the transfer approach from Espinosa et al.\textsuperscript{43} In brief, the PMMA particles were sedimentated by using a Beckman Coulter Spinchron centrifuge, and the supernatant was removed. We modified the transfer approach from Espinosa et al.\textsuperscript{43} Briefly, the PMMA particles were first transferred from methanol/water to pentanol as the intermediate solvent and then further to a solvent of span 85 (50 mM) in decalin or CHB. If we dispersed the PMMA particles into an apolar solvent (decalin or CHB) without span 85, then the particles aggregated immediately. After this procedure had been repeated for the fourth time, the solvent was considered to be completely changed.

To prepare flexible strings, a rectangular sample cell consisting of a 0.1 mm × 1.0 mm capillary (VitroCom, U.K.) with two 50-μm-thick nickel alloy wires (Goodfellow, U.K.) threaded along the side walls was used, as mentioned in our previous work.\textsuperscript{37} To be brief, the suspension containing fluorescent core–shell particles was separated from the mixture of methanol and water by a centrifuge and dispersed in formamide through sonication. The resulting solid content of particles was about 0.5 wt %, and their images were observed at emission wavelengths of around 605 nm (RAS), 515 nm (NBD), and 408 nm (CAS), respectively.

To be able to use the cell in a CSLM setup, the bottom of the vial was removed and replaced with a thin cover glass, which was glued to the vial using epoxy glue. A Nikon confocal scanning laser scan head (Nikon C1) was operated in fluorescent mode on a Leica (DM IRB) inverted microscope. Measurements were performed with a Leica 63 × oil confocal immersion lens with a numerical aperture of 1.4. The fluorescent particles were excited at around 543 nm (RAS), 488 nm (NBD), and 408 nm (CAS), and their images were observed at emission wavelengths of around 605 nm (RAS), 515 nm (NBD), and 450 nm (CAS), respectively.

Figure 1. Schematic diagram proposed for homogeneous and core–shell cross-linked particle formation and growth in the dispersion polymerization of methyl methacrylate.
adsorb stabilizer on their surfaces. If a cross-linker and/or a dye is added at the beginning at a relatively low concentration (typically less than 0.5 wt %), this does not have a significant negative effect on nucleation. Fairly soon after the first nuclei appear, the monomer, dye monomer, and cross-linker are incorporated from the continuous phase onto/into existing particles only and nucleation stops. From this stage on, polymerization mainly takes place within the monomer-swollen particles until all of the monomer has been consumed. By timing the start of the additions during the growth stage, core−shell structures can be obtained without too much interference from both the final particle size and the polydispersity.

Different reaction conditions and starting times of the addition were tried, as summarized in Table 1. At first, two kinds of polar solvents, ethanol and methanol, were used. It was found that the reaction did not yield particles when pure ethanol was used as the solvent, probably because the poly(methyl methacrylate) does not reach the critical chain length to precipitate from the ethanol to form nuclei. To remedy this, a poor solvent for PMMA (20 wt % water) was introduced into the system to shorten the critical chain length at which the precipitation of PMMA occurs. Unfortunately, a dispersion of particles with a broad size distribution was obtained. Therefore, we modified the method, which was put forward by Shen et al. using methanol as the solvent, AIBN as the initiator, and PVP (K-90) as the stabilizer. In Shen’s case, when the concentration of cross-linker was below 0.3 wt % on the basis of the monomer, spherical particles with a low polydispersity were obtained, but on further increasing the concentration of cross-linker, partial flocculation of the dispersion took place and total flocculation was observed at 0.6 wt %. Various other research groups have also found that when they added cross-linker, or even a dye, to a dispersion polymerization recipe in which all of the ingredients were added at the beginning, poor results were obtained.34,35,41,45,46 The final particle size was affected significantly, the size distribution became much broader, and sometimes coagulation occurred. We encountered similar difficulties when we added a small amount of cross-linker to the reaction. For example, although the addition of less than 0.3 wt % cross-linker (EGDMA) to a traditional one-step dispersion polymerization of MMA in methanol led to PMMA particles that were spherical and uniform in size, a larger amount of cross-linker (1 wt %) led to polydisperse particles. Fluorescent dyes had a similar negative effect; we even observed that dyes could inhibit the dispersion polymerization altogether if added at the beginning of the reaction. The same phenomenon was described by Horak.47 Therefore, we adapted the methodology used by Dullens et al.34,35 and Song et al.24,25 to try not to affect the nucleation stage of our dispersion polymerization and to determine if, in our case, the particle growth stage would be more robust. Moreover, by changing the addition start time we obtained monodisperse core−shell or homogeneously cross-linked fluorescent PMMA particles, as we will show in the following text.

Indeed, this methodology produced good results. Figure 2 shows an experimental SLS curve together with a calculated curve using the quoted parameters that we obtained for batch 4 with a cross-link density of 1 wt %. The locations of the minima and maxima on the k axis depend sensitively on the particle size, whereas the depth of the minima gives an estimate of the polydispersity. Using the refractive index of PMMA (1.49), we find a particle radius of 625 nm. The deep minima indicate a small polydispersity of 4.0% (or lower). A convenient method of obtaining direct information about the particle shape, size, and polydispersity is scanning electron microscopy. In Figure 3, an SEM image of batch 17 with a cross-link density of 10 wt % is given. The polydispersity of sample 17 is 3.0% as determined by SEM, confirming that the particles indeed maintain a uniform size even when highly cross-linked. It is obvious from Table 1 that both the particle size and polydispersity obtained by SEM are slightly smaller than those obtained by SLS. This is because particles tend to shrink in vacuum (SEM) whereas multiple scattering and background scattering tend to reduce the depth of the scattering minima, leading to a polydispersity that is slightly overestimated.

Core−Shell or Homogeneous Structure. To find the optimal addition start time and total addition time, we first measured the growth stage of particles in time. During the synthesis of batch 3, addition was started at 1.5 h and samples were taken from the reaction flask at intervals. The samples were quenched in a large amount of room-temperature methanol to prevent the further growth of particles. Subsequently, SLS was used to obtain the radii of the particles after different reaction times. The detailed data are shown in Figure 4. It is observed that after 3.5 h about 82% of the final radius is reached. After 5 h, more than 92% of the final radius is reached. Because of the delay between cross-linker addition and its becoming part of the particles, we chose 3.5 h as the point at.

Figure 2. Static light scattering data (C) and theoretical curve (---) of batch 4 (having a core−shell cross-linked structure with a 1 wt % cross-link density) measured in methanol. The curve was calculated using Mie theory (625 nm radius and 4% polydispersity).

Figure 3. SEM image of batch 17 (having a core−shell structure with a 10 wt % cross-link density). The scale bar in the main image is 10 μm, and that in the inset is 500 nm (509 nm radius with 3% polydispersity).
which the addition was finished for all preparation. In this way, cross-linker was present during a significant part of particle growth but not during particle nucleation.

As just mentioned, to avoid disturbing the nucleation stage, the second solution containing cross-linker and dye was added to the reaction flask after the reaction had run for 1.5 h. Some as-synthesized PMMA particles are shown in Figure 5. The inset images in Figure 5a–c show confocal microscopy images of particles dispersed in methanol, which are labeled by RAS, CAS, NBD, respectively, and cross-linked at 2 wt %. The corresponding SEM images are also shown in Figure 5a–c. It is clearly seen that the particles are uniform and fluorescent. The radii of the particles were in the range from 540 to 500 nm, which is slightly smaller than particles without dye (batch 3). It is indeed a strong indication that the nucleation stage has finished after the reaction has run for 1.5 h. This is also seen by comparing batches 8 and 9, where addition was started at the beginning. This shows that even very small amounts of dye can have a serious effect on the nucleation phase of free-radical polymerization. On close inspection of Figure 5a, it appears that the dye is inhomogeneously dispersed in the PMMA particles, being more concentrated in an outer shell. To observe this more clearly, the fluorescent particles were dispersed in cyclohexyl bromide (CHB), which almost matches the refractive index of PMMA. The result is shown in Figure 6a, where the core–shell structure is now clearly apparent. It is to be noticed that the simple centrifugation and sonication process resulted in aggregation of the particles in this solvent (Figure 6a). However, Espinosa et al. proposed an intriguing set of steps to transfer particles that were stable in polar solvents such as water to apolar solvents such as hexane, where they are charge-stabilized by surfactant span 85. We used and adapted their method to transfer our particles as charged-stabilized systems in decalin and CHB; results of colloidal crystals with a lattice spacing larger than the particle size are clearly visible in Figure 6c. By reducing the addition start time from 1.5 to 1 h and then to 20 min (batches 11 and 10) after starting the reaction (always keeping the end of the total addition time fixed at 3.5 h), the fluorescent shell became thicker. Unfortunately, we were not able to measure the exact thickness of the shell because the resolution of confocal microscopy (roughly 250 nm in the plane and 500 nm along the optical axis) is not sufficient for this. However, it is clear that the dye gets incorporated into the shell that grows around the particles only from the moment that the addition is started. Because the cross-linker was added along with the dye, it stands to reason that it was also incorporated into a shell. Nevertheless, we found that it is still possible to produce homogeneously cross-linked dyed particles...
by reducing the addition start time all the way to zero (batch 9, see Figure 6b). To observe the detailed fluorescent structure of batch 9, we dropped the diluted particle suspension onto a thin glass slide and let it dry at room temperature and then covered it with a refractive-index-matching solvent (CHB), as shown in Figure 6b. Because of the slow feed, the concentrations of cross-linker and dye present at the time of nucleation were too low to disturb the formation of uniform particles significantly, but the number of nuclei and therefore the final size were already reduced significantly.

**Effect of the Cross-Linker Content.** The cross-linker has a significant influence on the morphology of the PMMA particles if added at the beginning of the reaction, but after the nucleation stage has finished, it has less effect. The effects of the concentrations of EGDMA on the particle size and polydispersity can be read in Table 1. All particles had a narrow size distribution, even when the concentration of the cross-linker was increased to 10 wt % (based on the monomer), which gives the potential to fabricate more highly cross-linked fluorescent polymer particles. The open circles in Figure 7 show the particle radii measured with SLS as obtained for the nonfluorescent polymer particles in methanol as a function of the cross-linker concentration, $C_{\text{EGDMA}}$. Cross-linker addition was started after 1.5 h. The open circles are the experimental data (batch 4, 3, and 12–17), and the solid line is a guide to the eye.

Figure 7. Particle radii measured with SLS of the nonfluorescent polymer particles in methanol as a function of the cross-linker concentration, $C_{\text{EGDMA}}$. Cross-linker addition was started after 1.5 h. The open circles are the experimental data (batch 4, 3, and 12–17), and the solid line is a guide to the eye.

Effect of the Ratio of the Water/Methanol Solvent Mixture. The polarity of the solvent plays an important role in the preparation of polymer particles by dispersion polymerization. Therefore, we fixed the weight ratio of monomer to the other components, such as the stabilizer, initiator, total solvent mixture, and cross-linker, and other experimental parameters and changed only the weight ratio of the solvent mixture (methanol/water). As shown in Figure 8, the measured SLS curves are well fit by the theoretical curves. Curves a–c in Figure 8 belong to as-synthesized PMMA with a cross-linker content of 2 wt % prepared in solvent mixtures of 10:0, 9:1, and 8:2 (weight ratio of methanol/water), respectively. When comparing the three Mie fitting curves in a–c, one observes a shift in the minima to a higher scattering vector ($k$). Furthermore, the number of minima becomes smaller, implying that the as-synthesized PMMA particles become smaller with decreasing weight ratio of methanol and water. The Mie calculations give 880, 630 and 550 nm as the particle radii. It should be noted that the filling up of the minima at low wave vectors is mainly due to some multiple scattering at small angles, which is hard to prevent for such large particles. At higher wave vectors, the minima tend to fill up mainly because of the system’s polydispersity. When the water content of the solvent mixture was increased further, the system tended to become unstable and flocculated after the reaction had run for several minutes.

Because water is also a good solvent for PVP, the solubility of the latter would not be affected significantly by the presence of water, but water is a poorer solvent than methanol for PMMA. With increasing water content, the critical chain length is therefore expected to decrease, and thus the number of nuclei formed and the amount of adsorbed PVP would increase, resulting in smaller particles. With further increases in water content, the generation of more nuclei and the adsorption of more stabilizer would make it more difficult for existing particles to capture all of the nuclei and aggregates from the continuous phase before they become stable particles. Therefore, the particles tended to aggregate at some critical amount of water.

**PMMA Particles in a Good Solvent.** The cross-linking of the PMMA particles prevents their dissolution in a good solvent such as THF. Instead, the particles merely swell. As mentioned in the Introduction, such a swelling process performed with monomer is interesting in several schemes to arrive at more complex particles starting from cross-linked spheres.16–19 Quantifying the swelling is therefore interesting. Particles were given 2 weeks to swell in THF to make sure they had reached their final sizes.20 Broken and empty shells clearly show that material had exited the particle interiors in Figure 9a, which again confirms that the particles had a core–shell structure. The confocal image of Figure 9b further confirms this conclusion. (We believe that the fragmentation and distortions of particle shapes shown in Figure 9a were partially due to
theory for a core–shell sphere. From the fitted radius, a new swelling ratio and shell refractive index are calculated iteratively. The results from both models are shown in the inset of Figure 10. In comparing the two curves, there is not a significant difference between the two models. This is because the final effective indices of the particles are close to the refractive index of THF. It is seen that if the concentration of the cross-linker is increased then the swelling factor decreases because the rigidity of the polymer network increases (inset in Figure 10). Second, by fixing the cross-linker content while increasing the total addition time, we found that the swelling factor decreased (Figure 11). Apparently, the particles swell less if a larger portion of their volume is cross-linked. This time there is a larger difference between the two models, but both show the same trend.

**Flexible Strings.** In addition, a slightly modified procedure of a published strategy was carried out in a polar solvent (formamide) using the as-synthesized core–shell cross-linked PMMA particles (batch 7, cross-link density is 2 wt %) as building blocks. The high-frequency (1 MHz) ac electric field induced the dipole interaction of each particle without affecting the ions in the double layer and led to the assembly of the building blocks into strings. Subsequently, a thermal treatment of the strings fixed them permanently. Interestingly, the obtained strings were semiflexible, which makes these strings interesting as an experimental model system for (coarse-grained) polymer models. Details are shown in Figure 12.

### CONCLUSIONS

We described a straightforward procedure for the synthesis of monodisperse, highly cross-linked, fluorescent PMMA colloids with a core–shell or homogeneous structure. The preparation by dispersion polymerization was convenient and simple to carry out. This approach resulted in monodisperse particles ranging from submicrometer to micrometer size, depending on the concentration of cross-linker (EGDMA) in the range from 1 to 10 wt % with respect to the monomer (MMA) and on the weight ratio of polar solvents methanol and water. Controlling the addition start time of the cross-linker feed mixture offered a convenient way to interpolate between a heterogeneous (core–shell) and a homogeneous structure. Additionally, the cross-linked particles swelled significantly in good solvents (THF), with the swelling factor increasing with increasing addition start time or decreasing concentration of cross-linker. Moreover, the particles are sterically stabilized in more polar solvents but...
could nevertheless also be transferred to apolar solvents as charge-stabilized systems with double-layer thicknesses larger than the particle size. Finally, these PVP-stabilized particles could be transformed into flexible permanent strings by the application of a heating step in an external electric field.33
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Figure 12. Time-lapse confocal images of a semiflexible colloidal string (total recording time is about 34 s) made of core–shell PMMA (batch 7) in formamide. The scale bar is 5 μm.


